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Feedback from readers is the best way for this series of notes to become more helpful to users of $\mathbf{R}$ and Maxima. All comments and suggestions for improvements will be appreciated and carefully considered.

## 1 Introduction

The major project proposed in Chapter 1 of Steven Koonin's text Computational Physics applies root finding and quadrature methods to the task of finding the values of the scattering angle (in classical scattering by a central potential) as functions of the energy and impact parameter of the scattered particle.

We use the resources of the free and open source software $\mathbf{R}$ (http://www.r-project.org/) and Maxima (http://maxima.sourceforge.net/) to write code which helps to solve this type of problem.

The use of such modern powerful "command interpreters" encourages a "bottom-up" style of code development, in which small jobs are coded first, checked interactively for correct behavior, and then used as part of slightly larger coding jobs in an iterative fashion. Our discussion provides explicit examples (in both languages) of this coding style.

The first project1.pdf section explains our notation for classical scattering. The following two sections consider two examples which can be solved analytically, classical Rutherford scattering, both repulsive and attractive cases. When developing numerical approaches, it is always good to start with an exactly soluble case since when your numerical methods are producing the wrong answers, it will be obvious. The following section considers scattering by the Lennard-Jones potential. The last section displays the $\mathbf{R}$ code used to create the first three figures.

## 2 Classical Scattering Kinematics and Dynamics

### 2.1 Decomposition into Relative and Center of Mass Motion

We include $\mathbf{R}$ scripts used to draw some of the diagrams in $\operatorname{Sec} 6$.


Figure 1: Center of Mass Diagram
Given two particles: mass $m_{1}$ with position vector $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ and mass $m_{2}$ with position vector $\mathbf{r}_{2}$, the position vector $\mathbf{R}$ of the location of the center of mass of the two particle system is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}=\frac{1}{M}\left(m_{1} \mathbf{r}_{1}+m_{2} \mathbf{r}_{2}\right), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=m_{1}+m_{2}$ is the system mass. The position of particle 2 relative to particle 1 is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{rel}}=\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the position of particle 1 relative to the location of the system center of mass by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{R}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written, using Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{1}^{\prime}=-\frac{m_{2}}{M} \mathbf{r} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, the position of particle 2 relative to the center of mass is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{R}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{1}}{M} \mathbf{r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) the velocity of particle 1 can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{1}=\dot{\mathbf{r}_{1}^{\prime}}+\dot{\mathbf{R}}=\mathbf{V}-\frac{m_{2}}{M} \mathbf{v} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the velocity of the center of mass $\mathbf{V}=d \mathbf{R} / d t$ and the velocity of particle 2 relative to particle $1 \mathbf{v}=d \mathbf{r} / d t$. In a similar manner, the velocity of particle 2 can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}_{2}=\dot{\mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}}+\dot{\mathbf{R}}=\mathbf{V}+\frac{m_{1}}{M} \mathbf{v} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now transform the kinetic energy of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} M \mathbf{V}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mu \mathbf{v}^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which exhibits a clean separation of the kinetic energy associated with motion $\mathbf{V}$ of the location of the center of mass, and a kinetic energy associated with the relative motion $\mathbf{v}$. We have introduced the symbol $\mu$ for the so-called "reduced mass" of the system, $\mu=\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) / M$. In the absence of external forces, $\mathbf{V}$ is a constant vector, and a reference frame with origin at the center of mass is an inertial frame in which $\mathbf{V}=0$. In such a frame, the original lagrangian of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}^{2}-V\left(\left|\mathbf{r}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{1}\right|\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} \mu \mathbf{v}^{2}-V(r) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=|\mathbf{r}|$.
Once a solution $\mathbf{r}(t)$ has been found using the lagrangian Eq. (2.12), solutions for $\mathbf{r}_{1}(t)$ and for $\mathbf{r}_{2}(t)$ can be written down using Eqs. (2.4) and (2.6) (bearing in mind that, once we have adopted the center of mass frame, $\mathbf{r}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{r}_{2}=\mathbf{r}_{2}^{\prime}$ ). In this sense, the two-body problem has been reduced to a fictitious problem of a single particle of mass $\mu$ and position vector $\mathbf{r}$ which experiences a potential (energy) $V(r)$ with the associated "force" given by $\mathbf{f}=-\nabla V(r)$. The solution involves motion in a plane with two degrees of freedom, which can be taken to be plane polar coordinates $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{t})$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathrm{t})$.

In the same center of mass frame, the system angular momentum vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{r}_{1} \times \mathbf{p}_{1}+\mathbf{r}_{2} \times \mathbf{p}_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the momenta are $\mathbf{p}_{1}=m_{1} \mathbf{v}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{p}_{2}=m_{2} \mathbf{v}_{2}$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}=\mu \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system angular momentum vector $\mathbf{L}$ is a constant vector since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathbf{L}}{d t}=\mu \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{r} \times \dot{\mathbf{p}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the lagrange equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{v}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is used with the lagrangian form Eq. (2.12) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{p}}=-\nabla V(r) \propto \mathbf{r} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathbf{L}$ is a fixed vector with a fixed direction in space, Eq. (2.14) implies that $\mathbf{r}$ is always perpendicular to $\mathbf{L}$ and thus lies in a fixed plane, which we take to be the $(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y})$ plane, with initial velocity vector of the incident particle in the +x direction.


Figure 2: Coordinates Used Here
Taking plane polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ in this fixed plane, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}(t)=r(t) \hat{\mathbf{r}}(t) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the orthogonal time dependent unit vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{r}}(t)=\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cos \theta(t)+\hat{\mathbf{j}} \sin \theta(t), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)=-\hat{\mathbf{i}} \sin \theta(t)+\hat{\mathbf{j}} \cos \theta(t), \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=0, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the resulting unit vector derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{d t}=\dot{\theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \quad \frac{d \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}{d t}=-\dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{r}}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find for the relative velocity $\mathbf{v}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\frac{d \mathbf{r}}{d t}=\dot{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}}+r \dot{\theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \quad \mathbf{v}^{2}=\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\theta}^{2} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can express $\dot{\theta}$ in terms of the magnitude of the relative angular momentum and $r$ using Eq. (2.14) and $\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}=\mu \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}=\mu r^{2} \dot{\theta} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta$ is initially equal to $\pi$, and continuously decreases with time:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}=-\frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{\mu r^{2}} . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that in general, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, at the end of the scattering event, $\dot{\theta} \rightarrow 0$, and $\theta \rightarrow$ some constant. Eliminating $\dot{\theta}$ in Eq. (2.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{2}=\dot{r}^{2}+\frac{|\mathbf{L}|^{2}}{\mu^{2} r^{2}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total relative energy $E$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2} \mu \mathbf{v}^{2}+V(r)=\frac{1}{2} \mu \dot{r}^{2}+V_{e f f} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e f f}=V(r)+\frac{|\mathbf{L}|^{2}}{2 \mu r^{2}} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before the collision event, the incident particle has only kinetic energy, and the total constant energy $E$ has the value $\frac{1}{2} \mu v_{0}^{2}$, where $v_{0}$ is the initial speed. The constant angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$ of this particle of mass $\mu$ is given by (decomposing $\mathbf{r}$ along and perpendicular to the $z$ axis and using $\left|\mathbf{r}_{\perp}\right| \rightarrow b$ before the incident particle feels the central force, where $b$ is the impact parameter of the particle considered).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}=\mu \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}_{0}=\mu\left(\mathbf{r}_{\|}+\mathbf{r}_{\perp}\right) \times \mathbf{v}_{0}=\mu \mathbf{r}_{\perp} \times \mathbf{v}_{0}=-\mu b v_{0} \hat{\mathbf{k}}=-b \sqrt{(2 \mu E)} \hat{\mathbf{k}} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $|\mathbf{L}|=b \mu v_{0}$. Using $v_{0}=\sqrt{2 E / \mu}$, we then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}=-\sqrt{\frac{2 E}{\mu}} \frac{b}{r^{2}}=-v_{0} \frac{b}{r^{2}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Eq. (2.25) for $d r / d t=\dot{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{r}= \pm v_{0}\left(1-V_{e f f}(r) / E\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (2.23) we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta=-\left(\frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{\mu r^{2}}\right) d t=-\left(\frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{\mu r^{2}}\right) \frac{d r}{\dot{r}} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eqs. (2.29) and (2.30) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta=\mp\left(\frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{\sqrt{2 \mu}}\right) \frac{d r}{r^{2} \sqrt{E-V_{e f f}(r)}} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will later need to use the relation of the x and y components of the vector velocity to the radial and theta components, so we discuss that relation here. Using Eq. (2.19) and Eq. (2.21), and the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}=\hat{\mathbf{i}} v_{x}+\hat{\mathbf{j}} v_{y}=\hat{\mathbf{r}} \dot{r}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} r \dot{\theta} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=\dot{r} \cos (\theta)-r \dot{\theta} \sin (\theta), \quad v_{y}=\dot{r} \sin (\theta)+r \dot{\theta} \cos (\theta) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 The Differential Scattering Cross Section

We here specialize the two body problem to the case of scattering, in which one of the pair of particles is at rest at $r=0$, and the other particle approaches the scattering center with some intial speed and hence initial energy equal to the inital kinetic energy. In general, as the incident particle approaches the scattering center, the particle will have both a radial velocity $\dot{r}$ and an angular velocity $r \dot{\theta}$. We see from Eq. (2.29) that the radial velocity $\dot{r}$ is zero at a radius $r_{\text {min }}$ defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=V_{e f f}\left(r_{\min }\right) . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r>r_{\text {min }}, E>V_{e f f}$, and since $V_{e f f}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, a positive energy particle will approach the scattering center, reaching a minimum radial distance $r_{\text {min }}$ and (in the absence of a capture mechanism) return to radial infinity. The polar angle $\theta$ is measured from the forward direction (the positive $x$ axis).


Figure 3: Scattering Angle $\chi$ Illustrated
Since the scattering of a given particle in a central potential takes place in a plane, we are free to choose the $z=0$ plane, and the position of a incident particle can be described in terms of the coordinates $(x, y)$, in which $y$ is the perpendicular distance of the incident particle from the $x$ axis. When the incident particle is at $x=-\infty, y=b$ (the particle's impact parameter), $r=\infty, \theta$ has a value arbitrarily close to $\pi$, and the value of $\theta$ decreases with time, with the scattered particle finally having either a positive value of $\theta$, as shown in the figure, or a negative value of $\theta$, in which case the asymptotic direction of the trajectory is below the forward direction.

We will denote the scattering angle (the final value of $\theta$ ) by $\chi$, which can be either positive or negative. Consider a beam of identical particles which approach the scattering center. We consider first only those particles which have the same kinetic energy when far away, (ie., a monoenergetic beam). We assume that the beam has a large diameter cross section; the relations derived will be correct for particles with small enough values of impact parameters $b$. We assume the number of beam particles per unit area (at $x \rightarrow-\infty$ ) is independent of $\rho$, the perpendicular distance from the x -axis; this assumption is clearly related to the previous one. If $J$ is the incident beam flux density with units number $/\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{sec}\right)$,
then we are assuming that $J$ is independent of the distance $\rho$ from the beam axis.
We locate each beam particle during the scattering process using two different coordinate systems. We tag each beam particle with the pair of numbers $(b, \phi)$, choosing the positive $x$ axis to be in the initial direction of motion of the beam particles and passing through the scattering center. The impact parameter $b$ is the initial distance of the beam particle from the $x$ axis, and $\phi$ is a cylindrical angle around the beam axis. A beam particle will maintain a constant value of $\phi$ and will be scattered into a final direction labeled by the two angles $(\psi, \phi)$. Here we are using spherical coordinates $(r, \psi, \phi)$, with $r$ the radial distance from the scattering center, $\psi$ is the (positive) polar angle measured from the positive $x$ axis in the $(x, y)$ plane, and $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle about the $x$ axis.

Choose $d b$ such that beam particles having impact parameters in the interval $(b, b+d b)$ and also having azimuthal angles in the interval $(\phi, \phi+d \phi)$ will be scattered into a small positive solid angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Omega=\sin \psi d \psi d \phi \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

subtended by the scattering center at the origin of coordinates. The number of beam particles scattered per second into a particular $d \Omega$ is written as $d N=J d \sigma$ and this will also be equal to $J d A=J b d b d \phi$. Hence the positive quantity $d \sigma$, which has the dimension $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sigma=b d b d \phi=\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right) d \Omega \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using our expression for $d \Omega$ and cancelling $d \phi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\left|\frac{b}{\sin \psi} \frac{d b}{d \psi}\right| \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, all reference to $\phi$ has dropped out, and we replace the positive angle $\psi$ by the signed scattering angle $\chi$, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\left|\frac{b}{\sin \chi} \frac{d b}{d \chi}\right| \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For those impact parameters for which $d \chi / d b=0$, the differential scattering cross section is infinitely large.

### 2.3 The Scattering Angle Integral

The effective potential (Eq. 2.26) can be written (using Eq.2.27),

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e f f}=V(r)+\frac{E b^{2}}{r^{2}} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the change in $\theta$ (Eq. 2.31 ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \theta= \pm(b \sqrt{E}) \frac{d r}{r^{2} \sqrt{E-V_{e f f}(r)}} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides over corresponding intervals, during the first part of the trip from $r=\infty$ to $r=r_{\text {min }}, d \boldsymbol{\theta}$ is negative and $d r$ is also negative, while on the second part of the trip, from $r=r_{\text {min }}$ to $r=\infty, d \theta$ is negative and $d r$ is positive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\pi}^{\chi} d \theta=\chi-\pi=\int_{\infty}^{r_{\min }} f(r) d r-\int_{r_{\min }}^{\infty} f(r) d r=-2 \int_{r_{\min }}^{\infty} f(r) d r \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scattering angle $\chi$ as a function of $(E, b)$ is then written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\pi-2 \phi_{\infty} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=b \int_{r_{\min }}^{\infty} \frac{d r}{r^{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{V_{e f f}(r)}{E}}} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument of the square root function in the denominator of the integrand vanishes at the lower limit of the integral, by definition of $r_{\text {min }}$ (see Eq. 2.34).

### 2.4 Reduction to Dimensionless Form

By choosing a length scale $a_{0}$ either suggested by the form of the potential or the physical regime considered, we can define a dimensionless radial distance via $\bar{r}=r / a_{0}$ and a dimensionless impact parameter $\bar{b}=b / a_{0}$.

A dimensionless energy $\bar{E}$ can be defined in terms of the value of the potential energy evaluated at $r=a_{0}$, or $\bar{E}=$ $E / V\left(a_{0}\right)$. A dimensionless potential energy as a function of $\bar{r}$ is $\bar{V}(\bar{r})=V(r) / V\left(a_{0}\right)$, and a dimensionless effective potential energy is $\bar{V}_{\text {eff }}(\bar{r})=V_{e f f}(r) / V\left(a_{0}\right)$, in terms of which $\phi_{\infty}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\bar{b} \int_{\bar{r}_{m i n}}^{\infty} \frac{d \bar{r}}{\bar{r}^{2} \sqrt{1-\bar{V}_{e f f}(\bar{r}) / \bar{E}}} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{r}_{\text {min }}$ is the value of $\bar{r}$ at which the argument of the square root function vanishes, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}_{e f f}(\bar{r})=\bar{V}(\bar{r})+\bar{E} \frac{\bar{b}^{2}}{\bar{r}^{2}} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E=\frac{1}{2} \mu v_{0}^{2}$, a natural unit of speed is $v_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{2 E}{\mu}}$. A dimensionless component of the velocity vector can then be defined as $\bar{v}_{x}=v_{x} / v_{0}$. A natural unit of time is then $t_{0}=a_{0} / v_{0}$ and a dimensionless time is $\bar{t}=t / t_{0}=v_{0} t / a_{0}$.

We can then write the x -component of the equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \frac{d v_{x}}{d t}=-\frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} \frac{d V(r)}{d r}=-\frac{x}{r} \frac{d V(r)}{d r} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

in dimensionless form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{v}_{x}}{d \bar{t}}=-\frac{\bar{x}}{2 \bar{E} \bar{r}} \frac{d \bar{V}(\bar{r})}{d \bar{r}} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also write the first order differential equation for $\theta(t)$, Eq. (2.28) in dimensionless form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta}{d \bar{t}}=-\bar{b} / \bar{r}^{2} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the first order differential equation for $r(t)$, Eq. (2.29), in dimensionless form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{r}}{d \bar{t}}= \pm\left(1-\bar{V}_{e f f}(\bar{r}) / \bar{E}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (2.33) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{x}=\cos (\theta) \frac{d \bar{r}}{d \bar{t}}-\sin (\theta) \bar{r} \frac{d \theta}{d \bar{t}}, \quad \bar{v}_{y}=\sin (\theta) \frac{d \bar{r}}{d \bar{t}}+\cos (\theta) \bar{r} \frac{d \theta}{d \bar{t}} . \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The starting point for the calculation of the differential scattering cross section, Eq. (2.38), is written in dimensionless form by noting that $\sigma$ has the dimensions of area, so we define $\bar{\sigma}=a_{0}^{2} \sigma$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{\sigma}}{d \Omega}=\left|\frac{\bar{b}}{\sin \chi} \frac{d \bar{b}}{d \chi}\right| \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Repulsive Rutherford Scattering

Here we treat the case in which the potential energy is

$$
\begin{gather*}
V(r)=\frac{\alpha}{r}, \quad \alpha>0, \quad V_{e f f}(r)=\frac{\alpha}{r}+\frac{E b^{2}}{r^{2}},  \tag{3.1}\\
\bar{V}(\bar{r})=\frac{1}{\bar{r}}, \quad \bar{V}_{\text {eff }}(\bar{r})=\frac{1}{\bar{r}}+\frac{\bar{E} \bar{b}^{2}}{\bar{r}^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the potential energy contains no natural length, we make use of the fact that quantum theory predicts that the $z$ component of angular momentum is quantized and can only have the discrete values $L_{z}=n \hbar$, where $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \ldots$. $\hbar$ is related to the famous "Planck's constant" $h$ by $\hbar=h /(2 \pi)$. If we use square brackets to denote "dimensions of",

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hbar]=[r m v]=\left[r m v^{2} / v\right]=\left[t m v^{2}\right]=\operatorname{erg}-\sec \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(using cgs (centimeter-gram-sec) units). The measured value of $\hbar$ is $1.055 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{erg}$-sec.

In cgs units, all physical quantities can be expressed as numbers with units which are some combination of mass ( m ), length (r), and time ( t ). Since $[\hbar]=\left[m r^{2} / t\right]$ and $[\alpha / r]=[E]=\left[m v^{2}\right]$, then $[\alpha]=\left[m r^{3} / t^{2}\right]$. If we then try to construct a quantity $a_{0}$ with the units of length out of the reduced mass $\mu$ of the two particle system, represented by $m$ for the balancing, the strength of the interaction $\alpha$, and $\hbar$, we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a_{0}\right]=[r]=\left[\hbar^{x} m^{y} \alpha^{z}\right]=\left[\left(m r^{2} / t\right)^{x} m^{y}\left(m r^{3} / t^{2}\right)^{z}\right]=\left[r^{2 x+3 z} m^{x+y+z} / t^{x+2 z}\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Balancing dimensions between the left and right-hand sides leads to three equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x+3 z=1, \quad x+y+z=0, \quad x+2 z=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just for practice, we use Maxima's solve function:

```
(%i1) solve([2*x+3*z=1,x+y+z=0,x+2*z],[x,y,z]);
(%o1) [[x = 2,y = -1,z = -1]]
```

Then the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{\mu \alpha} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the dimensions of a length, and we can define $\bar{x}=x / a_{0}$, for example.

### 3.1 Plot of Effective Potential

The function Veff_plot (from rutherford_repulse.mac) has the definition

```
Veff_plot(e,b,r0,r1,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax) :=
block([w,r, rmin, energy_line, numer], numer:true,
    w : 1/e/b,
    rmin : b*(w + sqrt(4+w^2))/2,
    energy_line : [discrete,[[rmin,e],[r1,e]]],
    plot2d([1/r + e*b^2/r`^2, energy_line],[r,r0,r1], [x,xmin,xmax],
            [y,ymin,ymax],[style,[lines, 3,1],[lines, 3,2]],
            [legend,false],[xlabel,"r"],[ylabel,"Veff"]))$
```

The parameter rmin is the minimum radial distance between the incident particle and the scattering center. The invocation
(\%i3) Veff_plot (1, 1, 0.9,3, 0.8,3,0,2) \$
chooses $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$, and chooses to plot the expression defined by veff over the range $[\boldsymbol{r}, \mathbf{0 . 9}, \mathbf{3}$ ]. The canvas horizontal extent is determined by the arguments $\mathbf{x m i n}$ and $\mathbf{x m a x}$. The canvas vertical extent is determined by the arguments $y$ min and $y \max$. This produces a plot of both the effective potential energy and also the constant total energy of the incident particle (in red).


Figure 4: Effective Potential for $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$

### 3.2 Analytic Scattering Angle Using Maxima

From Eq. (2.44) we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\bar{b} \int_{\bar{r}_{\text {min }}}^{\infty} \frac{d \bar{r}}{\bar{r}^{2} \sqrt{1-1 /(\bar{E} \bar{r})-\bar{b}^{2} / \bar{r}^{2}}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We replace the integration variable $\bar{r}$ by $z=\bar{r} / \bar{b}$, and define $w=1 /(\bar{E} \bar{b})$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\int_{z_{\text {min }}}^{\infty} \frac{d z}{z \sqrt{z^{2}-w z-1}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $z_{\min }$ is the positive value of $z$ for which the argument of the square root is zero. This gives the result (see Maxima session just below)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{4+w^{2}}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}-\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{4+w^{2}}}\right), \quad w=1 /(\bar{E} \bar{b}) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the scattering angle $\chi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\pi-2 \phi_{\infty}=2 \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{4+w^{2}}}\right)=2 \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4 \bar{E}^{2} \bar{b}^{2}}}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For given $\bar{E}$ as $\bar{b} \rightarrow \infty, w \rightarrow 0$ and $\chi \rightarrow 0$. And as $\bar{b} \rightarrow 0, w \rightarrow \infty$, and $\chi \rightarrow \pi=180 \mathrm{deg}$.
We find $z_{\text {min }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(w+\sqrt{4+w^{2}}\right)$ and hence $\bar{r}_{\text {min }}=\frac{\bar{b}}{2}\left(w+\sqrt{4+w^{2}}\right)$.
We let $\theta_{0}$ be the positive angle between the positive x -axis and the direction of $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{t})$ at the moment the incident particle is at its minimum distance from the scattering center (the origin of the coordinate system). From the figure, $\theta_{0}+\phi_{\infty}=\pi$, so $\theta_{0}=\pi-\phi_{\infty}$.

Here is a short Maxima session to find the above results.

```
(%i1) rs : solve(z^2 - w*z -1,z);
(%01) [z = - (sqrt (w^2+4)-w) /2,z = (sqrt (w^2+4) +w)/2]
(%i2) zmin : rhs(rs[2]);
(%02) (sqrt (w^2+4) +w)/2
(%i3) assume(w>0);
(%O3) [w > 0]
(%i4) phi_inf : integrate(1/(z*sqrt(z^2 - w*z -1)),z,zmin,inf);
(%04) %pi/2-asin(w/sqrt(w^2+4))
```

The coordinates of the point of closest approach (C) to the scattering center ( O ) are $\bar{x}_{c}=\bar{r}_{\text {min }} \cos \left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and $\bar{y}_{c}=$ $\bar{r}_{\text {min }} \sin \left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

The intersection (A) of the line OC and the line $\bar{y}=\bar{b}$ is defined by the coordinates $\bar{x}_{a}=\bar{b} \bar{x}_{c} / \bar{y}_{c}$ and $\bar{y}_{a}=\bar{b}$.
The hyperbolic orbit is symmetric about the point of closest approach. The asymptote of the incident particle as it approaches is the line $\bar{y}=\bar{b}$. The asymptote of the particle as it retreats to positive infinity is the line AG (in the limit $\bar{r} \rightarrow \infty)\left(\bar{x}=\bar{x}_{a}+\cos (\chi), \bar{y}=\bar{b}+\sin (\chi)\right)$ which has its origin at point A and makes an angle $\chi$ with the positive x -axis.

## Scattering Angle as a Function of Impact Parameter Using Maxima

Using Eq. (3.10), we can find the scattering angle for different (dimensionless) impact parameters, for a given (dimensionless) energy. In our code we represent $\bar{E}$ by $\mathbf{e}$, and represent $\bar{b}$ by $\mathbf{b}$.

```
(%i1) fpprintprec:8$
(%i2) angle_a(e,b) :=
block([numer], numer:true,
    2*asin(1/sqrt (1 + 4*e^2*b^^2)) *180/%pi) $
(%i3) for b in [10,5,1.5,1,0.8,0.5,0.3,0.1,0.01,0.001] do
    print(" ",b," ",angle_a(1,b))$
    10 5.7248105
    5 11.421186
    1.5 36.869898
    1 53.130102
    0.8 64.010766
    0.5 90.0
    0.3 118.07249
    0.1 157.38014
    0.01 177.70847
    0.001 179.77082
```

and we can then make a simple plot of the scattering angle in degrees versus the (dimensionless) impact parameter.

```
(%i4) plot2d(angle_a(1,b),[b,0.001,10],[ylabel,"chi-degrees"],
    [style,[lines, 3]])$
```

which produces (roughly) the plot


Figure 5: Analytic Scattering Angle Versus $b$ for $e=1$

### 3.3 Numerical Scattering Angle Using Maxima

Although we have analytic results for the case of Rutherford scattering, it will be instructive to pretend that no analytic solution can be found and develop numerical methods which allow calculation of the numerical scattering angle as a function of energy and impact parameter.

Here is an example function, angle_n (e,b), which is used to make a table similar to the analytic table above.

```
(%i1) angle_n(e,b) :=
block([z,w,zmin,phi_inf,rexpr,iexpr, numer], numer:true,
    w : 1/(b*e),
    rexpr : z^2 - w*z -1, /* root -> zmin */
    iexpr : 1/(z*sqrt (rexpr)), /* integrate to get phi_inf */
    zmin : find_root(rexpr,z,1e-4,1e6),
    phi_inf : quad_qagi(iexpr,z,zmin,inf)[1],
    (%pi - 2*phi_inf)*180/%pi)$
(%i2) bval : [10,5,1.5,1,0.8,0.5,0.3,0.1,0.01,0.001]$
(%i3) for b in bval do
        print(" ",b," ",angle_n(1,b))$
            5.7248105
            11.421186
    .5 36.869898
        53.130102
    0.8 64.010766
    0.5 90.0
    0.3 118.07249
    0.1 157.38014
    0.01 177.70847
    0.001 179.77082
```

We can then make a plot of scattering angle as a function of impact parameter.

```
(%i4) chival : map(lambda([x],angle_n(1,x)),bval)$
(%i5) fll(chival);
(%05) [5.724810451867294,179.7708171875211,10]
(%i6) plot2d([discrete,bval,chival],[style,[lines,3]],[xlabel,"b"],
    [ylabel,"chi-deg"])$
```

which produces the less than perfect plot:


Figure 6: Numerical Scattering Angle Versus $\bar{b}$ for $\bar{E}=1$
Clearly, we can add more sample points (by choosing more $\bar{b}$ values) to get a smoother plot.
In the above calculation, we made use of Maxima's lambda function which allows us to avoid defining a named function. The alternative, "named function" method could look like:

```
(%i7) func(x) := angle_n(1,x) $
(%i8) chival : map(func,bval);
```

Both of the above methods of turning a Maxima list into another list avoid the less efficient loop solution, which might look like:

```
(%i9) chival:[]$
(%i10) for b in bval do (
    chival : cons(angle_n(1,b),chival))$
(%i11) chival : reverse(chival)$
(%i12) fll(chival);
(%o12) [5.724810451867294,179.7708171875211, 10]
```


### 3.4 Numerical Scattering Angle Using R

Here we will first translate the Maxima function angle (e,b) into R. In the file rutherford_repulse.mac we have the Maxima code

```
/* some (e,b) dependent angles and rmin.
    theta0 defines the angle of closest approach
        (counter-clockwise from positive x axis)
    phi_inf defines the rotation angle of r-vec as the
        incident particle comes from theta=%pi, r = inf to
        the point of closest approach, so
            theta0 = %pi - phi_inf.
    chi is the scattering angle
            chi = %pi - 2*phi_inf
    rmin is the distance of closest approach
    to the scattering center = ( x = 0, y = 0 )
    */
```

```
angles(e,b) :=
block([w, phi_inf,theta0,chi,oldfp,rmin, numer], numer:true,
    oldfp : fpprintprec,
    fpprintprec : 8,
    w : 1/(e*b),
    phi_inf : acos(w/sqrt(4+w^2)),
    print(" phi_inf = ",phi_inf," rad, or ",phi_inf*180/%pi," deg"),
    theta0 : %pi - phi_inf,
    print(" theta0 = ",theta0," rad, or ",theta0*180/%pi," deg"),
    chi : %pi - 2*phi_inf,
    print(" chi = ",chi," rad, or ",chi*180/%pi," deg"),
    rmin : b*(w + sqrt(4+w^2))/2,
    print(" rmin = ",rmin),
    fpprintprec : oldfp,
    done) $
```

To translate this function, which makes use of the analytic results derived using Maxima above, we can follow the steps in the code: 1.) replace : with $=, 2$.) remove commas at the ends of complete statements, 3.) replace print (. . .) with cat (... "\n"), 4.) replace \%pi with pi.

Of course, there is the more basic replacement: angles $(e, b):=$ block ([local variables], code) $\$$ replaced with angles $=$ function (e,b) \{code \}.

We don't need to declare local variables in the $\mathbf{R}$ function definition, and the default number of digits printed out for floating point numbers needs no attention.

We write this translation inside Notepad2 (or Notepad++) first, and then paste the result into Rgui.

```
angles = function(e,b) {
    w = 1/e/b
    phi_inf = acos(w/sqrt(4+w^2))
    cat(" phi_inf = ",phi_inf," rad, or ",phi_inf*180/pi," deg\n")
        theta0 = pi - phi_inf
        cat(" theta0 = ",theta0," rad, or ",theta0*180/pi," deg\n")
        chi = pi - 2*phi_inf
        cat(" chi = ",chi," rad, or ",chi*180/pi," deg\n")
        rmin = b* (w + sqrt (4+w^2))/2
        cat(" rmin = ",rmin,"\n")}
angles(1, 1)
phi_inf = 1.107149 rad, or 63.43495 deg
theta0 = 2.034444 rad, or 116.5651 deg
chi = 0.9272952 rad, or 53.1301 deg
mmin = 1.618034
```

Next we translate angle_n (e,b), which produces, using numerical means, the scattering angle converted into degrees, into $R$ syntax and paste it into $\mathbf{R}$. We can then print out a simple table of corresponding values of $\bar{b}$ and $\chi$.

```
angle_n = function(e,b) {
    w = 1/(b*e)
    fr = function(z) z^2 - w*z -1 # root }->\mathrm{ (> zmin
    zmin = uniroot(fr, c(1e-4, le6),tol=1e-10) $root
    phi_inf = integrate(function(z) 1/z/sqrt(fr(z)), zmin, Inf)$val
    (pi - 2*phi_inf)*180/pi}
angle_n(1,1)
[1] 53.1301
```

```
> bval =c(10,5,1.5,1,0.8,0.5,0.3,0.1,0.01,0.001)
> for (b in bval) cat(" ",b," ",angle_n(1,b),"\n")
    10 5.72481
    5 11.42119
    1.5 36.8699
    1 53.1301
    0.8 64.01077
    0.5 90
    0.3 118.0725
    0.1 157.3801
    0.01 177.7085
    0.001 179.7709
```

We can then make a simple plot of the above values of the scattering angles, using the $\mathbf{R}$ function sapply to apply a function to a vector, returning a vector. (newvec = sapply (oldvec, func).)

```
> chival = sapply(bval,function(x) angle_n(1,x))
> head(chival)
[1] 5.723923 11.420227 37.289532 53.351958 64.009976 90.253342
> angle_n(1, 10)
[1] 5.723923
> angle_n(1,5)
[1] 11.42023
> tail(chival)
[1] 64.00998 90.25334 118.07137 157.37981 177.70850 179.77094
> plot (bval, chival,type="l", lwd=3, col="blue", xlab = "b",
+ ylab = "chi")
> abline(v=0)
```

which produces the same crude plot (because we don't have enough samples)


Figure 7: $\chi$ in degrees versus $\bar{b}, \bar{E}=1$

### 3.5 Review of Maxima's Runge-Kutta rk() with the Simple Harmonic Oscillator

We will use Maxima's Runge-Kutta integrator $\mathbf{r k}()$ for plotting the scattering trajectory. Here we review the use of $\mathbf{r k}()$ in the context of the simple harmonic oscillator with unit period and intial conditions $x(0)=1$ and $v_{x}(0)=0$. The utility functions fll , head, tail, and take are loaded by maxima-init.mac and are in the file klutil.mac. The syntax used with $\mathbf{r} \mathbf{k}$ here to describe the pair of first order differential equations $d x / d t=v_{x}, d v_{x} / d t=-4 \pi^{2} x$ is

```
rk( [dx/dt,dvx/dt],[x,vx],[x0,vx0], [t,t0,tmax,dt] )
```



```
(%i1) pts : rk ([vx, -4*%pi` 2*x],[x,vx],[1,0],[t,0,1,0.01])$
(%i2) fll(pts);
(%O2) [ [0.0,1.0,0.0], [1.0,0.99999995729235,5.1201813129342355E-6], 101]
(%i3) tL : take(pts,1)$
(%i4) fll(tL);
(%O4) [0.0,1.0, 101]
```

Here we make a plot of $x(t)$ versus $t$.

```
(%i5) xL : take(pts,2) $
(%i6) fll(xL);
(%06) [1.0,0.99999995729235, 101]
(%i7) plot2d([discrete,tL,xL],[x,0,1],[xlabel,"T"],[ylabel,"X"],
    [style,[lines, 3]])$
```

Here we make a plot of $v_{x}(t)$ versus $t$.

```
(%i8) vxL : take(pts,3)$
(%i9) fll(vxL);
(%09) [0.0,5.1201813129342355E-6,101]
(%i10) plot2d([discrete,tL,vxL], [x,0,1],[xlabel,"T"],[ylabel,"Vx"],
    [style,[lines, 3]])$
```


### 3.6 Scattering Trajectory Plot Using Maxima

The file rutherford_repulse.mac contains the function orbit_plot1 ( $e, b, t m, t p, d t, r \operatorname{chi}, x \min , x \max , y \min , y m a x)$ which provides maximum flexibility for the task of drawing a scattering orbit. The code uses the Maxima fourth-order Runge-Kutta integrator $\mathbf{r k}$.

Here are three examples of use, first for the case $e=1$ and $b=1$ ( $\chi$, the scattering angle, is represented by chi in the code).

```
(%i1) orbit_plot1(1, 1,5,5,0.01,5,-4.54,1.87,0,4)$
    rmin = 1.618034
    phi_inf = 1.1071487 rad or 63.434949 deg
    theta0 = 2.0344439 rad or 116.56505 deg
    chi = 0.927295 rad, or 53.130102 deg
    xc = -0.723607 yc = 1.4472136
    vcx = 0.552786 vcy = 0.276393
    xa = -0.5
    backwards from xc, yc
    xfirst = -4.536487
    forwards from xc, yc
    xlast = 1.8729016 ylast = 4.2659325
    vx_last = 0.54218 vy_last = 0.701
    xf = 2.5 yf = 5.0
```

which produces:


Figure 8: $e=1, b=1, \chi=53 \mathrm{deg}$
Next for $e=1$ and $b=0.6$

```
(%i2) orbit_plot1(1,0.6,5.4,5,0.01,5,-4.54,1.87,0,4) $
rmin = 1.281025
phi_inf = 0.876058 rad or 50.194429 deg
theta0 = 2.2655346 rad or 129.80557 deg
chi = 1.3894766 rad, or 79.611142 deg
xc = -0.820092 yc = 0.984111
vcx = 0.359816 vcy = 0.299846
xa = -0.5
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -4.7366598
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = 0.162247 ylast = 4.4261007
vx_last = 0.167226 vy_last = 0.86386
xf = 0.401639 yf = 5.5180328
```

which produces


Figure 9: $e=1, b=0.6, \chi=79.6 \mathrm{deg}$

Next for $e=1$ and $b=0.3$

```
(%i3) orbit_plot1(1,0.3,5.4,5.5,0.01,5,-4.54,1.87,0,4)$
rmin = 1.0830952
phi_inf = 0.54042 rad or 30.963757 deg
theta0 = 2.6011732 rad or 149.03624 deg
chi = 2.0607537 rad, or 118.07249 deg
xc = -0.928746 yc = 0.557248
vcx = 0.142507 vcy = 0.237512
xa = -0.5
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -4.6088375
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = -2.4910817 ylast = 3.9952223
vx_last = -0.414274 vy_last = 0.784846
xf = -2.8529412 yf = 4.7117647
```

which produces


Figure 10: $e=1, b=0.3, \chi=118 \mathrm{deg}$
The code used to plot the Rutherford scattering trajectory given a value of $\bar{E}$ (represented by $\mathbf{e}$ in the code) and $\bar{b}$ (represented by $\mathbf{b}$ in the code), first calls init $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b})$ to calculate local (to the calling function) values of $\chi$ (represented by chi), the cartesian coordinates ( $\bar{x}_{c}, \bar{y}_{c}$ ) of the point of closest approach (C), the x-coordinate $\bar{x}_{a}$ of the intersection of the line $\bar{y}=\bar{b}$ and the line OC, and finally the cartesian velocity components at point C (the point of closest approach). Since $d \bar{r} / d \bar{t}=0$ at the point C, Eq. (2.50) then implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{c x}=\bar{b} \sin \left(\theta_{0}\right) / \bar{r}_{\min }, \quad \bar{v}_{c y}=-\bar{b} \cos \left(\theta_{0}\right) / \bar{r}_{\min } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The code then uses Maxima's $\mathbf{r k}$ function to integrate both forward in time from point C for the part of the orbit in which $d \bar{r} / d \bar{t}>0$ and also backward in time from point C for the part of the orbit in which $d \bar{r} / d \bar{t}<0$.

Thus, inside the function orbit_plot 1 are the lines ( $\bar{x}$ is represented by $\mathbf{x}$, etc.)

```
init(e,b),
/* symbolic expressions for acceleration components */
rmag : sqrt (x^2 + y^2), /* rmag in terms of x and y */
dvxdt : x/2/e/rmag^3, /* dvx/dt repulsive rutherford case */
dvydt : y/2/e/rmag^3, /* dvy/dt */
/* integrate backwards from xc, yc */
rkpts : rk([vx, dvxdt, vy, dvydt ],
            [x,vx,y,vy],[xc,vcx,yc,vcy],[t,0,-tm,-dt]),
xL : take(rkpts,2),
yL : take(rkpts,4),
pm : [discrete, xL, yL],
```

which will be the points plotted for the earlier part of the trajectory, and then the lines

```
/* integrate forwards from xc,yc */
    rkpts : rk([vx, dvxdt, vy, dvydt ],
            [x,vx,y,vy], [xc,vcx, yc,vcy], [t,0,tp,dt]),
    xL : take(rkpts,2),
    yL : take(rkpts,4),
    pp : [discrete, xL, yL],
```

which will be the points plotted for the later part of the trajectory. In both functions, ( $\mathbf{x c}, \mathbf{y c}$ ) represent $\left(\bar{x}_{c}, \bar{y}_{c}\right)$ and ( vcx, vcy) represent $\left(\bar{v}_{c x}, \bar{v}_{c y}\right)$ and these are locally available after calling init (e,b).

In this function, a homemade function take ( $\mathbf{m l i s t}, \mathbf{n}$ ) is used to create a list $\mathbf{x L}$ of the x coordinates and a list $\mathbf{y L}$ of the $y$ coordinates, using the syntax $\mathbf{x L}:$ take (pts, 2) and $y^{L}$ : take (pts, 4) since $r k()$, in this example, returns a list with elements of the form $[t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathrm{vy}]$.

The function take ( $\mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{n}$ ) has the definition

```
take(%aL,%nn) := (map(lambda([x],part (x,%nn)), %aL))$
```

The syntax used with $\mathbf{r k}$ here is

```
rk( [dx/dt,dvx/dt,dy/dt,dvy/dt],[x,vx,y,vy],[x0,vx0,y0,vy0], [t,t0,tmax,dt] )
```

in which the first argument is the list of the right-hand sides of four first-order differential equations and is based on Eqs. (2.47) and (3.2), leading to, for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{v}_{x}}{d \bar{t}}=\frac{\bar{x}}{2 \bar{E} \bar{r}^{3}}=\frac{\bar{x}}{2 \bar{E}\left(\bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.7 Review of R's ode() with the Simple Harmonic Oscillator

The file sho1.R contains $\mathbf{R}$ code to integrate the simple harmonic oscillator system with unit period and intial conditions $\mathbf{x 0}=1, \mathbf{v x} \mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}$ and make a plot of both the position $\mathbf{x}$ and the velocity component $\mathbf{v x}$ as functions of the time.

```
## sho1.R
## simple harmonic oscillator with period = 1
## produces side by side plots of
## x vs t and vx vs t
yini = c(x = 1, vx = 0)
times = seq(0, 1, 0.001)
sho = function(t, y, parms) {
    with( as.list(y), {
            dx = vx
            dvx = -4*pi^2*x
            list( c(dx, dvx) ) } ) }
out = ode(times = times, y = yini, func = sho, parms = NULL)
plot(out, lwd = 2)
```

We first load in the deSolve package, and then load and run this script with source, as usual:

```
> library(deSolve)
> source("c:/k1/sho1.R")
> head(out)
    time x vx
[1,] 0.000 1.0000000 0.00000000
[2,] 0.001 0.9999803-0.03947803
[3,] 0.002 0.9999210 -0.07895450
[4,] 0.003 0.9998224 -0.11842785
[5,] 0.004 0.9996842 -0.15789672
[6,] 0.005 0.9995066 -0.19735930
```

which produces the plot


Figure 11: Position and Velocity for SHO with Unit Period

The side effect of loading in the package deSolve is to set up some plot defaults which have been accepted in the above example.

We can then return to one plot per row, and plot the velocity component versus the position (a phase space plot) with

```
> par(mfrow = c(1,1))
> plot(out[,"x"], out[,"vx"],type = "l",lwd = 3,col = "blue",
+ xlab = "X", ylab = "Vx")
```

which produces the single plot


Figure 12: Velocity vs. Position for SHO with Unit Period
$\mathbf{R}$ vectors containing the discrete positions and velocity components can be extracted from the data.frame produced by ode.

```
> xL = out[,"x"]
> head (xL)
[1] 1.0000000 0.9999803 0.9999210 0.9998224 0.9996842 0.9995066
> vxL = out[,"vx"]
> head (vxL)
[1] 0.00000000 -0.03947803 -0.07895450 -0.11842785 -0.15789672
[6] -0.19735930
```

The $\mathbf{R}$ vector containing the discrete times can also be extracted

```
> tL = out[,1]
> head(tL)
[1] 0.000 0.001 0.002 0.003 0.004 0.005
```

and we could have used out [, 2] to get the positions, etc.

We are free to use $\mathbf{y}$ as a variable inside the derivative function, as in

```
## sho3.R
## simple harmonic oscillator with period = 1
## produces side by side plots of
## y vs t and vy vs t
yini = c(y = 1, vy = 0)
times = seq(0, 1, 0.001)
sho = function(t, y, parms) {
    with( as.list(y), {
        dy = vy
        dvy = -4*pi^2*y
        list( c(dy, dvy) ) } ) }
out = ode(times = times, y = yini, func = sho, parms = NULL)
plot(out, lwd = 2)
```

We get the same plots as before, and we can access the elements of the data.frame as before. Here we also define the $\mathbf{R}$ function $f 1 \mathbf{l}$ for use.

```
> library(deSolve)
> source("C:/k1/sho3.R")
> fll = function(xL) {
+ xlen = length(xL)
+ cat(" ",xL[1]," ",xL[xlen]," ",xlen,"\n") }
> head(out)
    time y vy
[1,] 0.000 1.0000000 0.00000000
[2,] 0.001 0.9999803 -0.03947803
[3,] 0.002 0.9999210 -0.07895450
[4,] 0.003 0.9998224 -0.11842785
[5,] 0.004 0.9996842 -0.15789672
[6,] 0.005 0.9995066 -0.19735930
> tL = out[,1]
> fll(tL)
    O 1 1001
> yL = out[,"Y"]
> fll(yL)
    1 1 1001
> vyL = out[,"vy"]
> fll(vyL)
    0 3.247125e-07 1001
> tail(yL, n=2)
[1] 0.9999803 1.0000000
```


### 3.8 Scattering Trajectory Plot Using R

The file rutherford_repulse.R contains the function
orbit_plot1 (e,b,tm,tp,dt,rchi, xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax)
which provides much flexibility for the task of drawing a scattering orbit. The code uses the deSolve $\mathbf{R}$ package integrator ode (), and the deSolve package must be loaded, using library (deSolve) before using the part of the code which calls ode ().

Here is one example, for the case $e=1$ and $b=1$. The scattering angle $\chi$ is represented by chi in the code.

```
> source("c:/k1/rutherford_repulse.R")
> library(deSolve)
> orbit_plot1(1, 1,5.5,5,0.01,5,-4.54,1.87,0,4)
    rmin = 1.618034
    phi_inf = 1.107149 rad or 63.43495 deg
    theta0 = 2.034444 rad or 116.5651 deg
    chi = 0.9272952 rad, or 53.1301 deg
    xc = -0.7236068 yc = 1.447214
    vcx = 0.5527864 vcy = 0.2763932
    xa = -0.5
    backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -4.982187
    forwards from xc, yc
xlast = 1.872902 ylast = 4.265932
vx_last = 0.5421801 vy_last = 0.7009998
xf = 2.5 yf = 5
```

which produces the scattering trajectory plot


Figure 13: $e=1, b=1, \chi=53 \mathrm{deg}$
See the discussion in Sec. ( 3.6), in which Maxima is used for plots of trajectories, for some details, which still form the basis for the $\mathbf{R}$ code, with some obvious translations and differences, especially in how the final plot is built up in stages.

The $\mathbf{R}$ version of init ( $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b}$ ) defines some parameters and makes them visible to the calling environment (in this case orbit_plot1) by using the special assignment syntax a <<- 10, for example. (In the code comments, the word 'global' refers to parameter visibility in the calling environment.)

| $\# \#$ | init (e,b) specific to repulsive rutherford case, |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\# \#$ | uses analytic expressions to produce global definitions |
| $\# \#$ | of chi, xc,yc, vcx, vcy, and xa. Besides printing out |
| $\# \#$ | these values, init $(e, b)$ also prints |
| $\# \#$ | out the values of rmin, phi_inf, and theta0. |

```
init = function(e,b) {
    w = 1/e/b
    rmin = b*(w + sqrt (4+w^2))/2 # repulsive case
    cat(" rmin = ",rmin,"\n")
    phi_inf = acos(w/sqrt(4+w^2)) # repulsive case
    cat(" phi_inf = ", phi_inf," rad or ", phi_inf*180/pi," deg\n")
    thetaO = pi - phi_inf
    cat(" theta0 = ",theta0," rad or ", theta0*180/pi," deg\n")
    chi <<- pi - 2*phi_inf # global parameter chi in radians
    cat(" chi = ", chi, " rad, or ", chi*180/pi," deg\n")
    # point of closest approach
    xc <<- rmin*cos(theta0) # global
    yc <<- rmin*sin(theta0) # global
    vcx <<- b*sin(theta0)/rmin # global
    vcy <<- -b*cos(theta0)/rmin # global
    cat(" xc = ", xc," yc = ", yc,"\n")
    cat(" vcx = ", vcx," vcy = ", vcy,"\n")
    # x-intersection of rmin line with y=b line
    xa <<- xc*b/yc # global
    cat(" xa = ",xa,"\n") }
```

Then, inside the $\mathbf{R}$ function orbit_plot1 are the lines:

```
\# define local xc, yc, vcx, vcy, xa, chi
init (e,b)
trajec \(=\) function(t, \(y\), parms) \{
    with( as.list(y), \{
        \(r=\operatorname{sqrt}\left(x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2\right)\)
        \(\mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{vx}\)
        \(d v x=x / 2 / e / r^{\wedge} 3\) \# repulsive case
        \(d y=v y\)
        dvy \(=y / 2 / e / r^{\wedge} 3\) \# repulsive case
        list( c(dx, dvx, dy, dvy) ) \} ) \}
\# integrate backwards from xc, yc
yini \(=c(x=x c, v x=v c x, y=y c, v y=v c y)\)
times \(=\) seq( \(0,-t m,-d t)\)
out \(=\) ode (times \(=\) times, \(y=\) yini, func \(=\) trajec, parms \(=\) NULL)
xL = out[,"x"]
YL = out [, "Y"]
plot (xL, \(y^{L}, x \lim =c(x m i n, x m a x), y l i m=c(y m i n, y m a x)\),
        type = "l", col = "blue", lwd = 3, xlab = "X",
        ylab = "Y")
\# integrate forwards from xc,yc
times \(=\operatorname{seq}(0, t p, d t)\)
out \(=\) ode (times \(=\) times, \(y=\) yini, func \(=\) trajec, parms \(=\) NULL)
xL = out[,"x"]
YL = out [,"Y"]
lines (xL, yL, lwd = 3, col = "blue")
```

as well as lines for other graphic elements and orbit detail printouts.

### 3.9 Analytic Differential Cross Section vs. Scattering Angle Using Maxima

Using Eqs. (2.51) and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin (\chi / 2)=\frac{1}{\left(1+4(\bar{E} \bar{b})^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

so a right triangle has 1 on the side opposite $\chi / 2$ and $\left(1+4(\bar{E} \bar{b})^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ on the hypotenuse, and hence $2 \bar{E} \bar{b}$ on the side adjacent to $\chi / 2$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot (\chi / 2)=2 \bar{E} \bar{b}, \quad \bar{b}=\frac{\cot (\chi / 2)}{2 \bar{E}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then need the first derivative $d \bar{b} / d \chi$.

```
(%i7) diff(cot(x/2)/2/e,x);
(%07) -csc(x/2)^2/(4*e)
```

SO

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{b}}{d \chi}=-\frac{1}{4 \bar{E} \sin ^{2}(\chi / 2)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{\sigma}}{d \Omega}=\frac{1}{16 \bar{E}^{2} \sin ^{4}(\chi / 2)}, \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=\left(\frac{\alpha}{4 E}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\sin ^{4}(\chi / 2)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we plot the natural log of the differential scattering cross section (using dimensionless units) versus the scattering angle $\chi$, with $\bar{E}=1$, using the code

```
(%i6) plot2d(log(1/(16*sin(x/2)^4)),[x,0.01,1],[xlabel,"chi"],
    [ylabel,"ln(dsigma/do) "],[style,[lines, 3]])$
```

we get:


Figure 14: $\ln (d \sigma / d \Omega)$ versus $\chi, \bar{E}=1$

### 3.10 Numerical Differential Cross Section vs. Scattering Angle Using Maxima

We pretend we do not have the analytic result for the scattering angle as a function of the energy and impact parameter, and use numerical methods to plot the natural logarithm of the predicted (dimensionless) differential cross section versus the scattering angle. This provides an opportunity to use our results for the numerical approximation of a first derivative.
sigma_points calls achi (e,b) to construct a list chil of scattering angles (in radians) corresponding to a set of values of the dimensionless impact parameter $\bar{b}$. The energy $\mathbf{e}$, the starting impact parameter value bo, the maximum impact parameter value bmax, and the impact parameter increment $d b$ are inputs. The function $\mathbf{f 1 d}$ ( $\mathbf{n u m}, \mathrm{dx}, \mathbf{f u n c L}$ ) is called with the syntax $\mathbf{f} 1 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{nb}, \mathrm{db}, \mathrm{chiL})$ to obtain a list of first derivatives $d \chi / d \bar{b}$ at the impact parameter grid points and stored in the list dchi_dbL. sigma_points returns a list of lists: [chi-list, log(dsig)-list]. The value of each element of sigL in the code is based on

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \bar{\sigma} / d \Omega=\frac{\bar{b}}{\sin (\chi)} \frac{1}{|d \chi / d \bar{b}|} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three functions just mentioned are defined in rutherford_repulse.mac.

```
/* sigma_points(e,b0,bmax,db) produces a list of two lists:
    [chi-list, log(d_sig/d_omega)-list] using numerical methods.
    Typical list arithmetic Maxima methods replace
    conventional loop methods here.
    calls achi() and f1d() */
sigma_points(ee,b0,bmax,db) :=
block([nb,bL, chiL,dchi_dbL,sigL, numer], numer:true,
    bL : makelist (b,b,b0,bmax,db),
    nb : length(bL),
    chiL : map(lambda([x], achi(ee,x)), bL),
    dchi_dbL : f1d(nb,db,chiL),
    sigL : abs(bL/sin(chiL) /dchi_dbL),
    [chiL,log(sigL)])$
/* achi (e,b) returns the scattering angle in radians
    using numerical, rather than analytical, methods. */
achi (e,b) :=
block([z,w, zmin,phi_inf,rexpr,iexpr, numer], numer:true,
    w : 1/(b*e),
    rexpr : z^2 - w*z -1, /* root -> zmin */
    iexpr : 1/(z*sqrt(rexpr)), /* integrate to get phi_inf */
    zmin : find_root(rexpr,z,1e-4,1e6),
    phi_inf : quad_qagi(iexpr,z,zmin,inf)[1],
    (%pi - 2*phi_inf))$
/*
(%i7) achi(1,1);
(%07) 0.927295
(%i8) deg(%);
(%08) 53.130102
*/
```

```
/* fld(nv,hh,gL) returns a list of first derivatives
    at the grid points for a function whose
        nv values at the grid points separated by hh
        are in the list gL */
f1d(nv,hh,gL) :=
block([j,fpL:[], fp0,fpl, numer], numer:true,
    for j:2 thru nv-1 do
        fpL : cons( (gL[j+1] - gL[j-1])/2/hh,fpL),
    fpL : reverse(fpL),
    /* use linear interpolation to define first and
        last elements of fpL */
    fp0 : 2*fpL[1] - fpL[2],
    fpl : 2*fpL[nv-2] - fpL[nv-3],
    fpL : cons(fp0,fpL),
    fpL : append(fpL,[fpl]),
    fpL) $
```

Here is an example of use which compares the strictly numerical approach to the analytic.

```
(%i1) load(rutherford_repulse);
(%o1) "c:/k1/rutherford_repulse.mac"
(%i2) [chival,sigval] : sigma_points(1,0.1,50,0.1)$
(%i3) time(%);
(%03) [15.44]
(%i4) fll(chival);
(%04) [2.7468015,0.0199993,500]
(%i5) fll(sigval);
(%o5) [-2.712689,15.648312,500]
(%i6) chi_min : lmin(chival);
(%06) 0.0199993
(%i7) Chi_max : lmax(chival);
(%o7) 2.7468015
(%i8) plot2d([[discrete, chival,sigval], log(1/16/sin(x/2)^4)],
    [x,chi_min,chi_max],[xlabel,"chi"],
    [ylabel,"ln(dsigma/do)"],[style,[lines,3]],
    [legend,"numerical","analytic"])$
```

produces the plot


Figure 15: $\ln (d \sigma / d \Omega)$ versus $\chi, \bar{E}=1$
We see that if we sample enough points, we get agreement between the analytic result and the numerical method.

### 3.11 Numerical Differential Cross Section vs. Scattering Angle Using R

We use numerical methods with $\mathbf{R}$ to calculate the scattering angle as a function of the energy and impact parameter, and use numerical methods to plot the natural logarithm of the predicted (dimensionless) differential cross section versus the scattering angle.
sigma_points calls achi (e,b) to construct a $\mathbf{R}$ vector chiL of scattering angles (in radians) corresponding to a set of values of the dimensionless impact parameter $\bar{b}$. The energy e, the starting impact parameter value bo, the maximum impact parameter bmax, and the impact parameter increment $\mathbf{d b}$ are inputs. The function $\mathbf{f 1 d}$ ( $\mathbf{n u m}, \mathrm{dx}, \mathrm{funcL}$ ) is called with the inputs $\mathbf{f 1 d}(\mathbf{n b}, \mathbf{d b}, \mathbf{c h i L})$ to obtain a $\mathbf{R}$ vector of first derivatives $d \chi / d \bar{b}$ at the impact parameter grid points and stored in the vector dchi_dbL. sigma_points returns a $\mathbf{R}$ list of two $\mathbf{R}$ vectors:
\{chi-vec, $\log (d s i g)$-vec \}. The value of each element of sigL in the code is based on

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \bar{\sigma} / d \Omega=\frac{\bar{b}}{\sin (\chi)} \frac{1}{|d \chi / d \bar{b}|} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following four functions are defined in rutherford_repulse.R.

```
## sigma_points(e,b0,bmax,db) produces a list of two lists:
## [chi-list, log(d_sig/d_omega)-list] using numerical methods.
## Typical list arithmetic Maxima methods replace
## conventional loop methods here.
## calls achi() and fld()
sigma_points = function(ee,b0,bmax,db) {
    bL = seq(from=b0, to=bmax, by=db)
    nb = length(bL)
    chiL = sapply(bL, function(x) achi (ee,x))
    dchi_dbL = fld(nb, db, chiL)
    sigL = abs(bL/sin(chiL)/dchi_dbL)
    list( chiL,log(sigL))}
## scattering angle in radians
achi = function (e,b) {
    w = 1/(b*e)
    fr = function(z) z^2 - w*z -1 # root -> zmin
    zmin = uniroot(fr, c(1e-4, le6),tol = le-10)$root
    phi_inf = integrate(function(z) 1/z/sqrt(fr(z)), zmin, Inf)$val
    pi - 2*phi_inf}
        f1d(nv,hh,gL) returns a vector of first derivatives
        at the nv grid points for a function whose
        nv values at the grid points separated by hh
        are in the vector gL. Would be more accurate if
        we used quadratic interpolation to define the
        end of grid derivatives.
f1d = function (nv,hh,gL) {
    fpL = vector(mode = "numeric", length = nv)
    for (j in 2:(nv-1)) fpL[j] = (gL[j+1] - gL[j-1])/2/hh
    fpL[1] = 2*fpL[2] - fpL[3]
    fpL[nv] = 2*fpL[nv-1] - fpL[nv-2]
    fpL}
```

```
## print out first, last and length of a vector
fll = function(xL) {
    xlen = length(xL)
    cat(" ",xL[1]," ",xL[xlen]," ",xlen,"\n") }
```

Here is an example of using these functions to make a plot of the natural $\log$ of the differential scattering cross-section versus the scattering angle $\chi$.

```
> source("rutherford_repulse.R")
> spts = sigma_points(1,0.1,50,0.1)
> chival = spts[[1]]
> sigval = spts[[2]]
> fll(chival)
    2.746802 0.01999933 500
> fll(sigval)
    -2.712689 15.64831 500
> chi_min = min(chival); chi_min
[1] 0.01999933
> chi_max = max(chival); chi_max
[1] 2.746802
> plot(chival, sigval, xlim = c(chi_min,chi_max),
+ type="l", col = "blue", lwd = 3,
+ xlab="chi", ylab="log(sigma)")
> abline (h=0,v=0)
> curve (log(1/16/sin (x/2) ^4),chi_min,chi_max,n=200,
+ add=TRUE,col = "red",lwd = 3)
```

which produces the plot


Figure 16: $\ln (d \sigma / d \Omega)$ versus $\chi, \bar{E}=1$
The analytic curve (in red) lies on top of the numerical curve (in blue), provided we take enough samples using the numerical method.

## 4 Attractive Rutherford Scattering

Here we treat the case in which the potential energy is

$$
\begin{gather*}
V(r)=-\frac{\alpha}{r}, \quad \alpha>0, \quad V_{e f f}(r)=-\frac{\alpha}{r}+\frac{E b^{2}}{r^{2}}  \tag{4.1}\\
\bar{V}(\bar{r})=-\frac{1}{\bar{r}}, \quad \bar{V}_{e f f}(\bar{r})=-\frac{1}{\bar{r}}+\frac{\bar{E} \bar{b}^{2}}{\bar{r}^{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.1 Plot of Effective Potential - Attractive Rutherford

The function Veff_plot (from rutherford_attract.mac) has the definition

```
Veff_plot(e,b,r0,r1,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax) :=
block ([w, rmin, energy_line, numer], numer: true,
    w : 1/e/b,
    rmin : b*(-w + sqrt(4+w^2))/2, /* attractive case */
    print(" rmin = ",rmin),
    energy_line : [discrete,[[rmin,e],[r1,e]]],
    plot2d([-1/r + e*b`^2/r^2, energy_line],[r,r0,r1], [x,xmin,xmax],
            [y,ymin,ymax],[style,[lines, 3,1],[lines, 3,2]],
            [legend,false],[xlabel,"r"],[ylabel,"Veff"]))$
```

The parameter $r$ min is the minimum radial distance between the incident particle and the scattering center. The invocation

```
(%i1) Veff_plot(1,1,0.4,8,0.4,8,-1,2)$
    rmin = 0.618034
plot2d: some values were clipped.
```

chooses $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$, and chooses to plot the expression defined by veff over the range $[r, 0.4,8]$. The canvas horizontal extent is determined by the arguments $\mathbf{x m i n}$ and $\mathbf{x m a x}$. The canvas vertical extent is determined by the arguments ymin and ymax. This produces a plot of both the effective potential energy and also the constant total energy of the incident particle (in red).


Figure 17: Effective Potential for $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$

### 4.2 Analytic and Numeric Scattering Angle Using Maxima - Attractive Rutherford

Continuing with the attractive Rutherford case, from Eq. (2.44) we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\bar{b} \int_{\bar{r}_{m i n}}^{\infty} \frac{d \bar{r}}{\bar{r}^{2} \sqrt{1+1 /(\bar{E} \bar{r})-\bar{b}^{2} / \bar{r}^{2}}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We replace the integration variable $\bar{r}$ by $z=\bar{r} / \bar{b}$, as before, and define $w=1 /(\bar{E} \bar{b})$, as before, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\int_{z_{\text {min }}}^{\infty} \frac{d z}{z \sqrt{z^{2}+w z-1}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $z_{\text {min }}$ is the positive value of $z$ for which the argument of the square root is zero. This gives the result (see Maxima session just below)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\frac{\pi}{2}+\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{4+w^{2}}}\right), \quad w=1 /(\bar{E} \bar{b}) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For attractive scattering, $\phi_{\infty}>\pi / 2$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi_{\infty}+\left(\phi_{\infty}-|\chi|\right)=\pi, \quad \chi=\pi-2 \phi_{\infty}<0  \tag{4.6}\\
\chi=-2 \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{4+w^{2}}}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

We take $\chi$ to be a negative number here with the magnitude of $\chi$ the deviation below the forward line $y=b$ (or the line $\bar{y}=\bar{b}$ ).

We find $z_{\text {min }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{4+w^{2}}-w\right)$ and hence $\bar{r}_{\text {min }}=\frac{\bar{b}}{2}\left(\sqrt{4+w^{2}}-w\right)$.
We let $\theta_{0}$ be the positive angle between the positive x -axis and the direction of $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{t})$ at the moment the incident particle is at its minimum distance from the scattering center (the origin of the coordinate system). As before, $\theta_{0}+\phi_{\infty}=\pi$, so $\theta_{0}=\pi-\phi_{\infty}$.

Here is a short Maxima session to find the above results.

```
(%i1) rs : solve(z^2 + w*z -1,z);
(%o1) [z = - (sqrt (w^2+4) +w)/2,z = (sqrt (w^2+4)-w)/2]
(%i2) zmin : rhs(rs[2]);
(%o2) (sqrt (w^2+4)-w)/2
(%i3) assume(w>0);
(%O3) [w > 0]
(%i4) phi_inf : integrate(1/(z*sqrt(z^2 + w*z -1)),z,zmin,inf);
(%o4) asin(w/sqrt (w^2+4)) +%pi/2
```

Using the function angles (e, b) from rutherford_attract.mac,

```
(%i5) angles(1, 1)$
phi_inf = 2.0344439 rad, or 116.56505 deg
theta0 = 1.1071487 rad, or 63.434949 deg
chi = -0.927295 rad, or -53.130102 deg
mmin = 0.618034
```

Since the magnitude of the scattering angle as a function of the dimensionless parameters $\bar{E}$ and $\bar{b}$ is the same as in the case of repulsive Rutherford scattering, the plots of the magnitude of the scattering angle as a function of $\bar{b}$ for given $\bar{E}$ are the same, and the plots of the natural logarithm of the magnitude of the differential scattering cross section as a function of the magnitude of the scattering angle are the same as the repulsive case.

In rutherford_attract.mac is the function angle_a $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b})$ which incorporates the analytic formula for the scattering angle:

```
/* analytic scattering angle in degrees for given
    values of Ebar and bbar */
angle_a(e,b) :=
block([numer], numer:true,
    -2*asin(1/sqrt (1 + 4*e^2*b^^2))*180/%pi) $
```

Using this function, we can make a simple plot of scattering angle versus impact parameter for the case $\bar{E}=1$.

```
(%i6) plot2d(angle_a (1,b),[b,0.01,6],[ylabel,"chi-deg"],
```

    [style, [lines, 3]]) \$
    which produces the plot


Figure 18: Scattering Angle Versus $\bar{b}$ for $\bar{E}=1$
Also in the file rutherford_attract .mac are the three numerical functions:

```
deg(z) := block([numer], numer:true, z*180/%pi)$
achi(e,b) :=
block([z,w,zmin,phi_inf,rexpr,iexpr, numer], numer:true,
    w : 1/(b*e),
    rexpr : z^2 + w*z -1, /* root -> zmin for attractive case */
    iexpr : 1/(z*sqrt(rexpr)), /* integrate to get phi_inf */
            zmin : find_root(rexpr,z,1e-4,1e6),
    phi_inf : quad_qagi(iexpr,z,zmin,inf)[1],
    (%pi - 2*phi_inf))$
angle_n(e,b) := (deg(achi (e,b)))$
```

Using this numerical approach instead, we can also make a continuous plot by using the lambda function:

```
(%i7) plot2d(lambda([b],angle_n(1,b)),[b,0.01,6],[ylabel,"chi-deg"],
    [style,[lines, 3]])$
(%i8) time(%);
(%08) [25.86]
```

which produces the same plot as produced using angle_a (e,b), but takes a longer time.

Of course a faster method would be to define a list of values of the impact parameter, and map the conversion to scattering angle onto that list, producing a list of corresponding scattering angles in a shorter time, as in

```
(%i9) bL : makelist (b,b,0.1,5,0.1)$
(%i10) fll(bL);
(%010) [0.1,5.0,50]
(%i11) chiL : map(lambda([b],angle_n(1,b)), bL)$
(%i12) time(%);
(%012) [1.61]
(%i13) fll(chiL);
(%013) [-157.38014,-11.421186,50]
(%i14) plot2d([discrete,bL,chiL], [x, 0,5], [xlabel,"b"],[ylabel,"chi-deg"],
    [style, [lines, 3]])$
```

which produces


Figure 19: Scattering Angle Versus $\bar{b}$ for $\bar{E}=1$

### 4.3 Numerical Scattering Angle Versus Impact Parameter Using R - Attractive Rutherford

In the file rutherford_attract. R are the three functions

```
## convert radians to degrees
deg = function(z) z*180/pi
## scattering angle in radians via numerical methods
## for attractive Rutherford scattering
achi = function(e,b) {
    w = 1/(b*e)
    fr = function(z) z^2 + w*z -1 # root -> zmin
        zmin = uniroot(fr, c(1e-4, 1e6),tol = 1e-10)$root
        phi_inf = integrate(function(z) 1/z/sqrt(fr(z)), zmin, Inf) $val
        pi - 2*phi_inf}
        angle_n(e,b) attractive rutherford case
            numerical scattering angle in degrees for given
                values of Ebar and bbar
angle_n = function(e,b) deg(achi (e,b))
```

Using these functions, we can make a simple plot of scattering angle versus impact paramter for the case of attractive Rutherford scattering.

```
> bL = seq(0.01,6,0.1)
> fll(bL)
    0.01 5.91 60
> chiL = sapply(bL,function(x) angle_n(1,x))
> fll(chiL)
    -177.7085 -9.671686 60
> plot (bL, chiL,type="l",col="blue",lwd=3,ylab="chi-deg",xlab="b")
> abline (v=0)
```

which produces the plot


Figure 20: Scattering Angle Versus $\bar{b}$ for $\bar{E}=1$

### 4.4 Scattering Trajectory Plot Using Maxima and R - Attractive Rutherford

The file rutherford_attract.mac contains the function
orbit_plot1 (e,b,tm,tp,dt,rchi, xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax, nextend)
which provides much flexibility for the task of drawing a scattering orbit. The code uses the Maxima fourth-order RungeKutta integrator $\mathbf{r k}$.

A translation into R with the syntax

```
orbit_plot1 (e,b,tm,tp,dt,rchi,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax)
```

is in the file rutherford_attract. R and produces the same plots illustrated here using the Maxima version. The Maxima version uses the small integer nextend to determine the number of points to use for the extension of the red line
which joins the origin to the closest point of the trajectory. Here are three examples of use of the Maxima version, first for the case $e=1$ and $b=1$ ( $\chi$, the scattering angle, is represented by chi in the code). The code for orbit_plot1 causes printouts (to the screen) of much diagnostic information about the trajectory.

```
(%i1) orbit_plot1(1, 1,5,5,0.01,5,-3,3.4,-2,2,5,1)$
rmin = 0.618034
phi_inf = 2.0344439 rad or 116.56505 deg
theta0 = 1.1071487 rad or 63.434949 deg
chi = -0.927295 rad, or -53.130102 deg
xc = 0.276393 yc = 0.552786
vcx = 1.4472136 vcy = -0.723607
xa = 0.5
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -5.7276639
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = 4.2046373 ylast = -4.0061018
vx_last = 0.655096 vy_last = -0.861996
xf = 3.5 yf = -3.0
```

produces


Figure 21: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$
and then for $\bar{E}=1$ and $\bar{b}=0.6$,

```
(%i2) orbit_plot1(1,0.6,5,5,0.01,5,-3,3.4,-2,2,5,1)$
rmin = 0.281025
phi_inf = 2.2655346 rad or 129.80557 deg
theta0 = 0.876058 rad or 50.194429 deg
chi = -1.3894766 rad, or -79.611142 deg
xc = 0.179908 yc = 0.215889
vcx = 1.6401844 vcy = -1.3668203
xa = 0.5
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -5.9196184
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = 1.6346676 ylast = -5.7185896
vx_last = 0.198759 vy_last = -1.0623693
xf = 1.4016393 yf = -4.3180328
```

produces


Figure 22: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=0.6$
and for $\bar{E}=1$ and $\bar{b}=0.3$,

```
(%i3) orbit_plot1(1,0.3,5,5,0.01,5,-3,3.4,-2,2,5,1)$
rmin = 0.0830952
phi_inf = 2.6011732 rad or 149.03624 deg
theta0 = 0.54042 rad or 30.963757 deg
chi = -2.0607537 rad, or -118.07249 deg
xc = 0.0712535 yc = 0.0427521
vcx = 1.8574929 vcy = -3.0958215
xa = 0.5
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -6.0729577
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = -2.6061534 ylast = -5.4927368
vx_last = -0.506288 vy_last = -0.951945
xf = -1.8529412 yf = -4.1117647
```

we get


Figure 23: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=0.3$

An example of the use of the $\mathbf{R}$ version (which uses a more sophisticated adaptive algorithm than does Maxima) is:

```
> source("rutherford_attract.R")
> library(deSolve)
> orbit_plot1 (1, 1,5,5,0.01,5, -3,3.4,-2,2)
rmin = 0.618034
phi_inf = 2.034444 rad or 116.5651 deg
theta0 = 1.107149 rad or 63.43495 deg
chi = -0.9272952 rad, or -53.1301 deg
xc = 0.2763932 yc = 0.5527864
vcx = 1.447214 vcy = -0.7236068
xa = 0.5
    backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -5.727665
    forwards from xc, yc
xlast = 4.204644 ylast = -4.006098
vx_last = 0.6550977 vy_last = -0.861996
xf = 3.5 yf = -3
```

which produces the plot


Figure 24: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$
Using the Maxima version (which uses a fixed time step), for a given energy, as you decrease the impact parameter, you need to finally decrease the integration step size to achieve correct asymptotic behavior: approaching $y=b$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$, and the $t \rightarrow+\infty$ orbital elements becoming tangent to the scattering angle line. We find that we must set $d t=0.001$ when $\mathbf{e}=1, \mathbf{b}=0.2$, and we must set $d t=0.0001$ when $\mathbf{e}=1, \mathbf{b}=0.1$, to get a resulting plot with the correct asymptotic behavior.

### 4.5 Differential Scattering Cross Section - Attractive Rutherford Case

In Section (4.2) we found the negative scattering angle for the attractive Rutherford potential case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=-2 \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{4+w^{2}}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin (|\chi| / 2)=\left(1+4 \bar{E}^{2} \bar{b}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same argument as before, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cot (|\chi| / 2)=2 \bar{E} \bar{b}, \quad \bar{b}=-\frac{\cot (\chi / 2)}{2 \bar{E}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{b}}{d \chi}=\frac{1}{4 \bar{E} \sin ^{2}(\chi / 2)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then get the same formal expression as in the repulsive Rutherford case, Eq. ( 3.16

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{\sigma}}{d \Omega}=\frac{1}{16 \bar{E}^{2} \sin ^{4}(\chi / 2)} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the same approximate numerical method as used in the repulsive Rutherford case, and compare the results with the analytic answer. Using Maxima for the case $\bar{E}=1$,

```
(%i1) load(rutherford_attract);
(%o1) "c:/k1/rutherford_attract.mac"
(%i2) [chival,sigval] : sigma_points(1,0.1,50,0.1)$
(%i3) time(%);
(%03) [16.25]
(%i4) fll(chival);
(%04) [-2.7468015,-0.0199993,500]
(%i5) fll(sigval);
(%05) [-2.712689,15.648312,500]
(%i6) chi_min : lmin(chival);
(%06) -2.7468015
(%i7) chi_max : lmax(chival);
(%07) -0.0199993
(%i8) plot2d([[discrete, chival,sigval], log(1/16/sin(x/2)^4)],
    [x,chi_min,chi_max],[xlabel,"chi"],
    [ylabel,"ln(dsigma/do)"],[style,[lines,3]],
    [legend,"numerical","analytic"])$
```

which produces the plot


Figure 25: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$
which implies agreement (again provided we use enough samples in the approximate numerical method).

Using $\mathbf{R}$ instead, we get the same agreement:

```
> source("rutherford_attract.R")
> spts = sigma_points(1,0.1,50,0.1)
> chival = spts[[1]]
> fll(chival)
    -2.746802 -0.01999933 500
> sigval = spts[[2]]
> fll(sigval)
    -2.712689 15.64831 500
> chi_min = min(chival); chi_min
[1] -2.746802
> chi_max = max(chival); chi_max
[1] -0.01999933
> plot(chival, sigval, xlim = c(chi_min,chi_max),
+ type="l", col = "blue", lwd = 3,
+ xlab="chi", ylab="log(sigma)")
> abline (h=0,v=0)
> curve(log(1/16/\operatorname{sin}(x/2)^4),chi_min,chi_max,n=200,
+ add=TRUE,col = "red",lwd = 3)
> legend("topleft",col=c("blue","red"),legend=c("numerical","analytic"),
+ lwd = 2, cex = 1.5)
```

which produces


Figure 26: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$

## 5 Scattering by the Lennard-Jones Potential

A central potential (energy) expression which implies an attractive force (on the scattered particle) when $r$ is large and a repulsive force when $r$ is small is the Lennard-Jones " $6-12$ " potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=4 V_{0}\left((a / r)^{12}-(a / r)^{6}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contains the adjustable parameter $a$ with the dimension of length and the adjustable parameter $V_{0}$ with the dimension of energy. The factor of 4 assures that $V_{0}$ is the depth of the potential energy minimum (below the zero of energy). The switch from attractive to repulsive force occurs when $r=2^{1 / 6} a$.

Defining dimensionless variables $\bar{r}=r / a$ and $\bar{V}(\bar{r})=V / V_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}(\bar{r})=4\left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}^{12}}-\frac{1}{\bar{r}^{6}}\right) . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensionless energy is $\bar{E}=E / V_{0}$ and the dimensionless impact parameter is $\bar{b}=b / a$.
The dimensionless $x$ component of acceleration is then, using Eq.(2.47)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{v}_{x}}{d \bar{t}}=-\frac{12 \bar{x}}{\bar{E}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}^{8}}-\frac{2}{\bar{r}^{14}}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimensionless $y$ component of acceleration is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{v}_{y}}{d \bar{t}}=-\frac{12 \bar{y}}{\bar{E}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}^{8}}-\frac{2}{\bar{r}^{14}}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $\bar{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{v}_{x}}{d \bar{t}} \approx-\frac{12 \bar{x}}{\bar{E} \bar{r}^{8}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\bar{x}<0$ (for large $\bar{r}$ ) then $\bar{v}_{x}$ is increasing with time (attractive region) and if $\bar{x}>0$ (for large $\bar{r}$ ) then $\bar{v}_{x}$ is decreasing with time (repulsive region). With no restriction on the size of $\bar{r}, d \bar{v}_{x} / d \bar{t}$ changes sign when $\bar{r}=2^{1 / 6} \approx 1.122462$.
(\%i1) 2^(1/6), numer;
(\%०1) 1.122462048309373
which determines the location of the minimum of $\bar{V}(\bar{r})$, where the dimensionless potential (energy) takes the value -1 .

```
(%i2) 4*(1/x^(12) - 1/x^ 6), x = 2^(1/6);
(%O2) -1
```

The dimensionless effective potential energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}_{e f f}(\bar{r})=\bar{V}(\bar{r})+\bar{E} \bar{b}^{2} / \bar{r}^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scattering angle $\chi$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\left|\pi-2 \phi_{\infty}\right| \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\infty}=\bar{b} \int_{\bar{r}_{\text {min }}}^{\infty} \frac{d \bar{r}}{\bar{r}^{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{4}{E}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{r}^{12}}-\frac{1}{\bar{r}^{6}}\right)-\bar{b}^{2} / \bar{r}^{2}}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower limit $\bar{r}_{\text {min }}=\left(r_{\text {min }} / a\right)$ is the largest real (positive) value of $\bar{r}$ for which the argument of the square root vanishes, and hence the largest real root of the equation $f(r)=0$, where in the code we replace $\bar{r}$ by $r, \bar{b}$ by $b$, and $\bar{E}$ by $e$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=e r^{12}-e b^{2} r^{10}+4 r^{6}-4=0 . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we make a plot of $f(r)$ for $e=1, b=1$, using the code (this and following code is in lennard_jones.mac)

```
(%i3) fplot(e,b,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax) :=
block([f,numer], numer : true,
    f : e*x^12 - e*b^2***^10 + 4*x^6 - 4,
    plot2d(f,[x, xmin, xmax],[y,ymin,ymax],
            [style, [lines, 3]], [ylabel, "f"],
            [xlabel, "r"],[nticks, 200]))$
(%i4) fplot(1,1,0.01,2,-10,10) $
plot2d: some values were clipped.
```

we get the following plot which shows one root near $r=1$


Figure 27: Arg. of Radical for $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$
which is confirmed by find_root:

```
(%i5) find_root (x^12 - x^10 + 4*x^6 -4,x,0.1,2);
(%05) 1.0
```

and realroots shows that it is an exact solution:

```
(%i6) realroots (x^12 - x^10 + 4*x^6 -4);
(%06) [x = -1,x = 1]
```

as is obvious from inspection of the expression.
Using R instead of Maxima, we get the same plot with (this function is in lennard_jones.R):

```
fplot = function(e,b,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax) {
    curve (e*x^12 - e*b^ 2*x^10 + 4*x^ 6 - 4, xmin, xmax,
        n=200, col="blue", lwd=3, ylim = c(ymin,ymax),
        xlab = "r", ylab = "f")
    abline(h = 0)}
fplot (1, 1,0.01,2,-10, 10)
```

Again, using $\mathbf{R}$ to find rmin locations

```
> e=1; b = 1
> uniroot (function(x) e*x^12 - e*b^2**^^10 + 4*x^6 - 4, c(0.1,2),
+ tol = 1e-10 )$root
[1] 1
>e = 1; b = 0.3
> uniroot (function(x) e*x^12 - e*b^2***^10 + 4*x^6 - 4, c(0.1,2),
+ tol = 1e-10 )$root
[1] 0.9714185
```


### 5.1 Effective Lennard-Jones Potential Plots

A Maxima funciton, for the Lennard-Jones case, for a plot of the effective potential energy together with a line for the energy of the incident particle (in red) ending at rmin is:

```
Veff_plot (e,b,r0,r1,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax) :=
block([r,veff, root_expr,rmin, energy_line, numer], numer:true,
    veff : 4*(r^^(-12) - r^(-6)) +e*b^2/r^2,
    root_expr : 1 - 4*(1/r^12 - 1/r^6)/e - b^2/r^2,
    rmin : map('rhs, realroots(root_expr,1e-15)),
    rmin : apply('max, rmin),
    energy_line : [discrete,[[rmin,e],[r1,e]]],
    plot2d([veff, energy_line],[r,r0,r1], [x,xmin,xmax],
        [y,ymin,ymax],[style, [lines, 3,1],[lines, 3, 2]],
        [legend,false],[xlabel,"r"],[ylabel,"Veff"]))$
```

Here are a few examples of use. First for $\mathbf{e}=1$ and $\mathbf{b}=1$,

```
(%i1) Veff_plot(1,1,0.9,3,0.8,3,-0.5,2)$
```

which produces the plot


Figure 28: Effective Potential Energy for $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$

A second example at a value of the impact parameter which results in a "wrap-around" orbit is
(\%i2) Veff_plot (1, 1.66597,1,5,1,5,-0.5,2) \$
which produces the plot


Figure 29: Effective Potential Energy for $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1.66597$

### 5.2 Lennard-Jones Trajectory Plots

The Maxima function
orbit_plot1 (e,b,tm,tp,dt, rchi, xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax, nextend, psize)
in the file lennard_jones.mac provides much flexibility in producing a plot of the trajectory for the Lennard-Jones potential.

Here is an example which prints to the screen diagnostic information about the details of the trajectory.

```
(%i1) orbit_plot1(1, 1,5,5,0.01,5,-2,1.2,0,2,4,0.4)$
rmin = 1
phi_inf = 1.0723305 rad or 61.440014 deg
theta0 = 2.0692621 rad or 118.55999 deg
chi = 0.996932 rad, or 57.119973 deg
xc = -0.478079 yc = 0.878317
vcx = 0.878317 vcy = 0.478079
xa = -0.544312
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -5.6624496
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = 2.2342414 ylast = 5.2982522
vx_last = 0.542905 vy_last = 0.839859
xf = 2.1700966 yf = 5.1990458
```

and produces the plot


Figure 30: Lennard-Jones Trajectory for $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1$
A case in which the trajectory wraps around the scattering center and has a negative scattering angle of about -185 degrees is produced by the parameters $\mathbf{e}=1$ and $\mathbf{b}=1.66597$.

```
(%i2) orbit_plot1(1,1.66597,5,13,0.01,5,-4.9,1.5,-2,2,4,0.4)$
rmin = 1.256028
phi_inf = 3.1889565 rad or 182.71375 deg
theta0 = -0.0473639 rad or -2.7137495 deg
chi = -3.2363204 rad, or -185.4275 deg
xc = 1.2546194 yc = 0.0594681
vcx = -0.062799 vcy = -1.3248922
xa = -35.147555
backwards from xc, yc
xfirst = -3.3460942
forwards from xc, yc
xlast = -11.453391 ylast = -0.585262
vx_last = -0.995518 vy_last = 0.0945861
xf= -40.125139 yf = 2.1389006
```

which produces


Figure 31: $\bar{E}=1, \bar{b}=1.66597, \chi=-185.4$ degrees

### 5.3 Lennard-Jones Scattering Angle Plots

The Maxima function angle_n (e,b) for the Lennard-Jones case uses numerical methods to return the scattering angle (in degrees), given the dimensionless energy $\mathbf{e}$ and impact parameter $\mathbf{b}$. The scattering angle can be either positive or negative.

```
angle_n(e,b) :=
block ([root_expr, rmin,phi_inf, numer], numer:true,
    root_expr : 1 - 4*(1/r^12 - 1/r^^6)/e - b^2/r^2,
    rmin : map('rhs, realroots(root_expr,1e-15)),
    rmin : apply('max, rmin),
    phi_inf : b*quad_qagi(1/r^2/sqrt (root_expr),r,rmin,inf) [1],
    (%pi - 2*phi_inf)*180/%pi)$
```

with the behavior:

```
(%i1) angle_n(1,1);
(%01) 57.119973
```

For given energy $\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{1}$, we can use the Maxima map function with angle_n (provided we also use the lambda function) the produce a list of scattering angles from a list of values of the dimensionless impact paramter $\mathbf{b}$.

```
(%i2) bval : [1,0.8];
(%O2) [1,0.8]
(%i3) map('lambda([x],angle_n(1,x)),bval);
(%O3) [57.119973,85.630912]
```

We can use the Maxima function makelist to make a list of values of $\mathbf{b}$ in an organised manner, and then a list of the corresponding scattering angles (in degrees).

```
(%i4) bval : makelist(b,b,0.1,3,0.5);
(%04) [0.1,0.6,1.1,1.6,2.1,2.6]
(%i5) chival : map('lambda([x],angle_n(1,x)),bval);
(%05) [168.87958,111.16737,41.099183,-109.10721,-9.3447236,-2.2820441]
```

and then make a simple plot which shows both the discrete points and also joins the points with straight lines:

```
(%i6) plot2d([[discrete,bval,chival],[discrete,bval,chival],
            [discrete,[[0,0],[3,0]]] ],
    [x,0,3],[y, -180,180],[xlabel,"b"],[ylabel,"chi"],
    [style,[lines, 3,1],[points, 2, 2,1],[lines, 3,5] ],
    [legend, false])$
```

which produces the plot


Figure 32: Scattering Angles for $\bar{E}=1$

The region of impact parameters from $\mathbf{b}=0.4$ to $\mathbf{b}=2$ can then be looked at more carefully:

```
(%i7) bval : makelist(b,b,0.4,2,0.1);
(%०7) [0.4,0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9,1.0,1.1,1.2,1.3,1.4,1.5,1.6,1.7,1.8,1.9,2.0]
(%i8) chival : map('lambda([x],angle_n(1,x)),bval);
(%08) [134.94076,123.22066,111.16737,98.679851,85.630912,71.853809,57.119973,
    41.099183,23.282176,2.8123902,-21.942679,-54.792783,-109.10721,
    -93.021101,-35.226049,-20.509053,-13.435124]
(%i9) plot2d([[discrete,bval,chival],[discrete,bval,chival],
    [discrete,[[0.3,0],[2.2,0]]] ],
    [x,0.3, 2.2],[y,-180,180],[xlabel,"b"],[ylabel,"chi"],
    [style,[lines, 3,1],[points,2,2,1],[lines, 3,5] ],
    [legend, false])$
```

which produces the plot


Figure 33: Scattering Angles for $\bar{E}=1$
It is also possible to use the function angle_n(e,b) with the lambda function to get a continuous plot of scattering angle versus impact parameter, as in

```
(%i10) plot2d(lambda([x],angle_n(1,x)),[x,0.3,2.5],[y,-200,180],
    [xlabel,"b"],[ylabel,"chi"],
    [style,[lines,3,1]])$
(%i11) time(%);
(%011) [33.62]
```

which produces the plot


Figure 34: Scattering Angle Versus $\bar{b}$ for $\bar{E}=1$

### 5.4 Lennard-Jones Differential Scattering Cross Section

The scattering angle in radians is returned by the Maxima function achi ( $\mathbf{e}, \mathrm{b}$ ) , edited to describe the Lennard-Jones case:

```
achi (e,b) :=
block([root_expr,rmin,phi_inf,numer], numer:true,
    root_expr : 1 - 4*(1/r^112 - 1/r^6)/e - b^2/r^2,
    rmin : map('rhs, realroots(root_expr,1e-15)),
    rmin : lmax(rmin),
    phi_inf : b*quad_qagi(1/r^2/sqrt(root_expr),r,rmin,inf)[1],
        (%pi - 2*phi_inf))$
```

For example,

```
(%i1) achi(1,1);
(%01) 0.996932
(%i2) map(lambda([x],achi (1,x)), [0.8,1,1.2]);
(%O2) [1.4945414,0.996932,0.406351]
```

As another example, we can calculate the finite value of $\bar{b}$ for which the scattering angle is zero (see Figure 34):

```
(%i3) find_root(lambda([x],achi (1,x)),x,1.3,1.4);
(%०3) 1.3124992
(%i4) achi(1,%);
(%04) 1.27897692E-13
```

Thus, for $\bar{E}=1$, the scattering angle is approximately zero for $\bar{b}=1.3124992$.
In our plots of the scattering angle as a function of impact parameter, we have seen that there is a sharp negative minimum in the scattering angle for an impact parameter in the neighborhood of $\bar{b}=1.6$.

A useful function here is find_b (b_list, chi_list), which, given two equal length lists, a list of $\bar{b}$ values and a list of the corresponding $\chi$ values, finds the value of $\bar{b}$ corresponding to the minimum value of $\chi$.

```
which_min(aL) :=
block([amin,j,jval:0,numer ], numer:true,
    amin:lmin(aL),
    for j thru length(aL) do
        if is(equal(aL[j], amin)) then (
            jval : j,
                        return()),
            jval) $
find_b(xL, yL) := (xL[which_min(yL)])$
```

As a simple test example with a small number of elements:

```
(%i5) bL : makelist (b,b,0.1,0.6,0.1);
(%O5) [0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5,0.6]
(%i6) chiL : map('lambda([x],achi(1,x)), bL);
(%06) [2.9475046,2.752433,2.5553542,2.3551605,2.1506062,1.9402366]
(%i7) lmin(chiL);
(%o7) 1.9402366
(%i8) find_b(bL, chiL);
(%08) 0.6
```

To use $\mathbf{R}$, we can define:

```
find_b = function(bvec,chi_vec) bvec[which.min(chi_vec)]
```

with the behavior

```
> bL = seq(0.1,0.6,0.1); bL
[1] 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6
> chiL = sin(bL); chiL
[1] 0.09983342 0.19866933 0.29552021 0.38941834 0.47942554 0.56464247
> min(chiL)
[1] 0.09983342
> find_b(bL, chiL)
[1] 0.1
```

We use two different methods to make cross section plots.
We first use the more efficient sigma_points (e,bo,bmax, db) and fld(nb, db, chiL) method to generate a list of scattering angles and the corresponding natural logarithms of the absolute value of the differential scattering cross section implied by the range of impact parameters (b0, bmax). This method was used for the Rutherford scattering cases.

We use first use find_b ( b_list, chi_list ) to get the approximate location of the impact parameter which corresponds to the steep minimum of the scattering angle.

```
(%i9) bval : makelist(b,b,0.1,3,0.1)$
(%i10) fll(bval);
(%010) [0.1, 3.0,30]
(%i11) chival : map('lambda([x], achi(1,x)), bval)$
(%i12) fll(chival);
(%012) [2.9475046,-0.016455, 30]
(%i13) lmin(chival);
(%013) -1.9042801
(%i14) find_b(bval,chival);
(%014) 1.6
```

We then work toward a plot corresponding to the small values of impact parameters, from $\bar{b}=0.1$ up to $\bar{b}=1.6$. The values of $\chi$ indicated on the plots are in radians. In this range of impact parameters, the scattering angle starts off at a large positive value 2.9475046 (approximately 169 deg ) when $\bar{b}=0.1$, steadily decreases in value, becomes negative and reaches -1.9042801 (approximately -109 deg ) when $\bar{b}=1.6$.

```
(%i15) achi(1,0.1);
(%015) 2.9475046
(%i16) deg(%);
(%016) 168.87958
(%i17) achi(1,1.6);
(%017) -1.9042801
(%i18) deg(%);
(%018) -109.10721
(%i19) [chival,sigval] : sigma_points(1,0.1,1.6,0.02)$
(%i20) time(%);
(%020) [1.8]
(%i21) Chi_min : lmin(chival);
(%021) -1.9042801
(%i22) chi_max : lmax(chival);
(%022) 2.9475046
(%i23) plot2d([discrete,chival,sigval],[x,chi_min,chi_max],
    [xlabel,"chi"],[ylabel,"ln(dsigma/do)"],
    [style,[lines, 3]])$
```

which produces the plot


Figure 35: Log of Cross Section vs. $\chi$ for $\bar{E}=1$ and $\bar{b}=(0.1,1.6)$
In the above figure, the peak in the natural logarithm of the absolute value of the cross section occurs for $\chi=0$, corresponding to $\bar{b} \approx 1.3124992$, due to the presence of $\sin (\chi)$ in the denominator of the formula for the differential cross section.

We then make a separate plot for $\bar{b}$ in the range (1.7,3). In this range of impact parameters, the scattering angle starts at a large negative value $\chi=-1.6235245=-93 \mathrm{deg}$ when $\bar{b}=1.7$, and then becomes less negative steadily, ending at $\chi=-0.016455=-0.94 \mathrm{deg}$ when $\bar{b}=3$.

```
(%i24) achi(1,1.7);
(%024) -1.6235245
(%i25) deg(%);
(%025) -93.021101
(%i26) achi (1,3);
(%026) -0.016455
(%i27) deg(%);
(%027) -0.942803
(%i28) [chival,sigval] : sigma_points(1,1.7,3,0.02)$
(%i29) time(%);
(%029) [1.54]
(%i30) chi_min : lmin(chival);
(%O30) -1.6235245
(%i31) chi_max : lmax(chival);
(%o31) -0.016455
(%i32) plot2d([discrete,chival,sigval],[x,chi_min,chi_max],
    [xlabel,"chi"], [ylabel,"ln(dsigma/do)"],
    [style,[lines,3]])$
```

which produces the plot


Figure 36: Log of Cross Section vs. $\chi$ for $\bar{E}=1$ and $\bar{b}=(1.7,3)$
Since the behavior of the scattering angle and differential cross section (as a function of the energy and impact parameter) is more complicated than the pure Rutherford scattering cases, we create a second somewhat slower and less efficient method of calculation here, which is able to produce smoother plots.

Instead of using sigma_points and $\mathbf{f 1 d}$ (used in the Rutherford scattering cases) as above, we define and use a function chi_sigma1 ( $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{db}$ ), which evaluates the approximate numerical first derivative of $\chi$ with respect to a single value of $\bar{b}$ and returns a list of the value of $\chi$ implied by the input value of $\bar{b}$, together with the natural logarithm of the absolute value of the differential cross section for that scattering angle.

```
chi_sigma1(e,b,db):=
block([chival,dchi_db,sig,numer], numer:true,
    chival : achi(e,b),
    dchi_db : (achi (e,b+db) - achi (e,b-db))/2/db,
    sig : abs(b/sin(chival)/dchi_db),
    [chival,log(sig)])$
```

For example,

```
(%i1) chi_sigma1(1,0.1,0.01);
(%01) [2.9475046,-1.3216832]
(%i2) bL : [0.1,0.2]$
(%i3) map('lambda([x],chi_sigma1 (1,x,0.01)), bL);
(%03) [[2.9475046,-1.3216832],[2.752433,-1.3127418]]
```

Finally, we have constructed sigma_plot ( $\mathbf{e}, \mathrm{b} 0, \mathrm{bmax}, \mathrm{db}$ ), which, given the energy $\mathbf{e}$, the interval of $\mathbf{b}$ values to use ( bo 0 is lower limit, bmax is upper limit), and the separation db of b values used, makes a plot of the natural logarithm of the absolute value of the dimensionless differential scattering cross section as a function of the corresponding scattering angles.

```
sigma_plot(e,b0,bmax,db) :=
block([bL,pts,chiL,chi_min,chi_max, numer], numer:true,
    bL : makelist (b,b,b0,bmax,db),
    pts : map('lambda([x],chi_sigma1(1,x,db)), bL),
    chiL : take(pts,1),
    chi_min : lmin(chiL),
    chi_max : lmax(chiL),
    plot2d([discrete, pts],[x,chi_min,chi_max],
        [xlabel,"chi"], [ylabel,"ln(dsigma/do)"],
        [style,[lines,3]]))$
```

We again make a separate plot for $\bar{b}$ in the range (0.1, 1.6).

```
(%i4) sigma_plot(1,0.1,1.6,0.01);
(%i5) time(%);
(%05) [152.06]
```

which produces


Figure 37: Log of Cross Section vs. $\chi$ for $\bar{E}=1$ and $\bar{b}=(0.1,1.6)$
We then make a separate plot for $\bar{b}$ in the range $(1.7,3)$.

```
(%i6) sigma_plot(1,1.7,3,0.01)$
(%i7) time (%);
(%07) [119.9]
```

which produces


Figure 38: Log of Cross Section vs. $\chi$ for $\bar{E}=1$ and $\bar{b}=(1.7,3)$

## 6 R Scripts For The First Three Figures

The center of mass diagram (see 2.1) was produced with the $\mathbf{R}$ script cmass.R.

```
## cmass.R
plot(0:3,0:3, type="n", xlab="", ylab="",
    xaxt="n",yaxt="n",bty="n") # no axes, no box
points (0.5,0.5,pch=20)
points(0.5,2.5,pch=19, cex=2)
text (0.3,2.5, expression (m[1]), cex=1.5)
arrows (0.5,0.5,0.5,2.5,code=2,cex=1.2)
text (0.4,1.5, expression (r[1]) , cex=1.5)
text (0.4,0.5,"0")
points (2.5,2.5,pch=19, cex=2)
arrows (0.5,0.5,2.5,2.5, code=2, cex=1 . 2)
text(1.7,1.5, expression (r[2]), cex=1.5)
text (2.65,2.5, expression (m[2]), cex=1.5)
arrows (0.5,0.5,1.4,2.5, code=2, cex=1 .2)
text (1, 2, "R", cex=2)
arrows (0.5,2.5,2.5,2.5, code=2, cex=1 . 2)
text (1.4,2.6,"C. M.", cex=1.2)
text (1.2,2.8, expression(r[rel]), cex=1.5)
text (1.35,2.8, "=" , cex=1.5)
text(1.45,2.8, expression (r[2]), cex=1.5)
text (1.55,2.8, "-", cex=1.5)
text (1.65,2.8, expression (r[1]), cex=1.5)
```

and executed via
> source("c:/k1/cmass.R")

The coordinate system diagram (see 2.1) was produced with the $\mathbf{R}$ script coord.R.

```
## coord.R
plot(0:3,0:3,type="n", xlab="", ylab="",
    xaxt="n",yaxt="n",bty="n") # no axes, no box
arrows (0.25,0.25,2.75,0.25,code=2,lwd=2) # x axis
arrows (0.25,0.25,0.25,2.75,code=2,lwd=2) # y axis
arrows(0.25,0.25,1.536,1.782,code=2,lwd=3,col="blue") # position vector r
text (2.9,0.25, "+x", cex=2)
text (0.25,2.9, "+y", cex=2)
text (0.9,1.5, "r", cex=3)
points(1.536,1.782,pch=20, cex=1.75)
points (0.25,0.25, cex=2,pch=19)
text(0.12,0.12,"0", cex=2)
## arc of a circle with an arrow
plotcircle(r=1,mid=c(0.25,0.25), from=0,to=0.75,arrow=TRUE)
text(1.4,0.9,expression(theta),cex=3) # greek letter theta
```

The function plotcircle is from the shape package which must be loaded before using the script.

```
> library(shape)
> source("c:/k1/coord.R")
```

The scattering angle definition Figure 3 was created using rutherford_repulse.R, scatt.R, and the deSolve and shape libraries (see the end comment in the scatt. R file, which is shown here:)

```
## scatt.R generic scatt angle plot
## scatt_plot1 for generic scattering plot
## repulsive rutherford potential.
## calls init(e,b) to define local values of
## xc,yc,xa,vcx,vcy,chi.
scatt_plot1 = function(e,b,tm,tp,dt,rchi,xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax) {
    n1 = 150 # vector element for incident rvec arrow
    # define local xc, yc, vcx, vcy, xa, chi
    init(e,b)
    # symbolic expressions for acceleration components
    trajec = function(t, y, parms) {
    with( as.list(y), {
        r = sqrt (x^2 + y^2)
        dx = vx
        dvx = x/2/e/r^3 # repulsive case
        dy = vy
        dvy = y/2/e/r^3 # repulsive case
        list( c(dx, dvx, dy, dvy) ) } ) }
    # integrate backwards from xc, yc
    cat(" backwards from xc, yc \n")
    yini = c(x = xc, vx = vcx, y = yc, vy = vcy)
    times = seq(0, -tm, -dt)
    out = ode(times = times, y = yini, func = trajec, parms = NULL)
```

```
## make xLb and yLb global so they can be looked at outside program
xLb <<- out[,"x"]
yLb <<- out[,"Y"]
x1 = xLb[n1]
y1 = yLb[n1]
cat(" x1 = ", x1," y1 = ",y1,"\n")
xfirst = tail(xLb, n=1)
cat(" xfirst = ", xfirst," \n")
plot(xLb, yLb, xlim = c(xmin, xmax), ylim = c(ymin, ymax),
        type = "l", col = "blue", lwd = 3, xlab = "X",
        ylab = "Y")
# integrate forwards from xc,yc
cat(" forwards from xc, yc \n")
times = seq(0, tp, dt)
out = ode(times = times, y = yini, func = trajec, parms = NULL)
xL = out[,"x"]
yL = out[,"Y"]
cat(" xlast = ", tail(xL, n=1)," ylast = ",tail(yL, n=1),"\n")
vxf = tail(out[,"vx"], n=1)
vyf = tail(out[,"vy"], n=1)
cat (" vx_last = ",vxf," vy_last = ",vyf,"\n")
lines(xL, yL, lwd = 3, col = "blue")
# add line y = b
abline(h = b, lwd=2)
abline (h = 0)
# add tick mark at origin
lines ( c(0,0), c(- 0.05,0.05), lwd=2)
# add point at end of rvec arrow
points(x1, y1, pch=19, col="blue", cex=2)
# add rvec arrow
arrows (0,0,x1,y1, code=2, length=0.1, lwd = 2 )
# add rmin vector
arrows (0,0,xc,yc, code=2, length=0.1, col="red", lwd = 2)
text (0,0.8, expression(r[min]), cex=1.5)
# show angle theta to rvec line, trial and error:
plotcircle(mid=c(0,0), from=0, to= 2.1, r=0.5, lwd = 2, arrow=TRUE)
text(0.8, 0.3, expression(theta), cex=2)
text (-1.2,0.6, "r", cex=2)
# add chi line
yf = b + rchi*sin(chi)
xf = xa + rchi*cos(chi)
cat(" xf = ", xf," yf = ",yf,"\n")
lines ( c(xa,xf), c(b, yf), lwd=2)
# show angle chi
plotcircle(r=1,mid=c(xa,b), from=0,to=chi, arrow=TRUE)
text(0.8, 1.4, expression(chi),cex=2)
```

```
# show impact parameter b
arrows (-4.2,0,-4.2,1, code=3, length=0.1, lwd=2)
text (-4,0.5, "b", cex=1.7)
}
## production of Fig.3, Scattering Angle Illustrated:
##
## > source("c:/k1/rutherford_repulse.R")
## > library(deSolve)
## > library(shape)
## > source("c:/k1/scatt.R")
## > scatt_plot1(1, 1,5.5,5,0.01,5,-4.54,1.87,0,4)
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ The code examples use $\mathbf{R}$ ver. 3.0.2 and Maxima ver. 5.31.2 using Windows XP. This is a live document which will be updated when needed. Check http://www.csulb.edu/~woollett/ for the latest version of these notes. Send comments and suggestions for improvements to woollett@charter.net

