# Devaney's chaos revisited<sup>∗</sup>

Xiaoyi Wang and Yu Huang†

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P. R. China

#### Abstract

Let X be a metric space, and let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation. In this note, a concept *indecomposability* of f is introduced. We show that transitivity implies indecomposability and that Devaney's chaos is equivalent to indecomposability together with density of periodic points. Moreover, we point out that the indecomposability and the periodic-points density are independent of each other even for interval maps (i.e., neither implies the other).

AMS classification: 54H20; 37D45

Keywords: Transitivity; Indecomposability; Devaney's chaos

#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>1 Introduction

Throughout this note, we let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation of a metric space X. Devaney [\[5\]](#page-7-0) called it to be *chaotic* if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- $(i)$  f is transitive.
- (ii) the periodic points of  $f$  are dense in  $X$ .
- (iii) f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

As the concepts entropy and Li-Yorke's chaos, Devaney's chaos is an important tool to discover the complexity of the dynamical system  $(X, f)$ . These three concepts have intrinsic relations each other [\[1,](#page-7-1) [4,](#page-7-2) [7\]](#page-7-3).

It is well known that in Devaney's chaos, conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are not independent of each other; see, for examples, Banks et al. [\[3\]](#page-7-4), Assaf IV and Gadbois [\[2\]](#page-7-5), Vellekoop and Berglund [\[9\]](#page-7-6), Crannell [\[6\]](#page-7-7), and Touhey [\[8\]](#page-7-8).

In this note, we further study Devaney's chaos by introducing the concept—*indecomposability*. Two f-invariant closed subsets A, B are *independent* if they have no common interior points; that is, Int(A) ∩ Int(B) = ∅. Now  $(X, f)$  is called *indecomposable* if any two f-invariant closed subsets having nonempty interiors are not independent.

<sup>∗</sup> Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China (11071263)

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: Y. Huang, E-mail: stshyu@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Since transitivity is equivalent to the fact that the only  $f$ -invariant closed subset of  $X$  having nonempty interior is  $X$  itself, transitivity implies indecomposability. The converse however is not true even in the case of  $X = [0, 1]$ , as shown by Example [3.1](#page-5-0) below.

Our main result proved in this note can be stated as follows:

Main Theorem. *For the topological dynamical system* (X, f)*, the following two statements are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) f *is Devaney chaotic.*
- (2) f *is indecomposable and the periodic points are dense in* X*.*

We will prove this in Section [2.](#page-1-0) An interesting point is that the indecomposability and the periodic-points density are independent of each other even for interval maps (i.e., neither implies the other), as shown by Example [3.2](#page-6-0) below.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>2 Several equivalent definitions for Devaney's chaos

Let  $(X, f)$  be a topological dynamical system as in Section [1.](#page-0-0) To avoid the trivial case, we assume that X has at least infinitely many elements.

We denote respectively the recurrent points set, orbit and  $\omega$ -limit points set of f by

$$
R(f) = \{x \in X \mid \exists n_k \uparrow \infty \text{ s.t. } f^{n_k}(x) \to x\},\
$$

$$
\text{Orb}_f(x) = \{f^n(x)|n \ge 0\},\
$$

$$
\omega(x) = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} \overline{\{f^k(x)|k \ge n\}}.
$$

Given a subset  $A \subset X$ , we denote the interior of A by Int(A) and the closure of A by A in X. A is invariant if  $f(A) \subset A$ . A subset S of X is residual if it contains a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set. A Baire space is a topological space such that every nonempty open subset is of second category.

Recall that f is transitive if for any two nonempty open subsets U and V in X there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called a transitive point if  $\overline{\mathrm{Orb}_f(x)} = X$ . By Tr<sub>f</sub> we mean the set of all transitive points of f. It is well known that Tr<sub>f</sub> is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set if X is a Baire separable metric space.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation on the metric space X. f is said to be

- (i) *strongly indecomposable* if for any sequence of f-invariant closed subsets  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of X with  $\text{Int}(A_n) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\text{Int}(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (ii) *indecomposable* if for any two f-invariant closed subsets  $A, B \subset X$  with  $Int(A) \neq \emptyset$  and  $Int(B) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $Int(A \cap B) \neq \emptyset$ ;

(iii) *weakly indecomposable* if there exists a residual subset  $S \subset X$  such that for any two points  $x, y \in S$ ,  $\omega(x) = \omega(y) \neq \varnothing$ .

It is easily seen that the following implication relations hold:

 $transitivity \Rightarrow strongly\ indecomposability \Rightarrow indecomposability$ 

We will show that indecomposability implies weakly indecomposability provided that  $X$  is a compact space (see Theorem [3.1](#page-3-0) below). And we will give examples in Section [3](#page-3-1) to show all the converses are not true.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation on the metric space X such that  $X = R(f)$ *. Then the following conditions are equivalent:* 

- (1) f *is transitive.*
- (2) f *is strongly indecomposable.*
- (3) f *is indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Now we prove  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . It suffices to show that for any closed invariant subset A of X with nonempty interior, we have  $A = X$ , under the condition  $X = R(f)$ . In fact, as f is indecomposable, for any nonempty open set  $V \subset X$ , we have  $\overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f^n(V)} \cap A$ has nonempty interior. Then there exist nonempty open set  $V_1 \subset V$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $f^{n}(V_1) \subset \text{Int}(A)$ . Since the recurrent points of f are dense in  $V_1$  and A is an invariant closed set, we have  $V_1 \subset A$ . By the arbitrariness of V, we get  $X \subset A$ . Thus, f is transitive.

This proves Lemma [2.2.](#page-2-0)

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation on a Baire separable metric space X *such that*  $X = \overline{R(f)}$ *. Then the following conditions are equivalent:* 

- (1) f *is transitive.*
- (2) f *is strongly indecomposable.*
- (3) f *is indecomposable.*
- (4) f *is weakly indecomposable.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-0) we need only prove  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ . Since X is a Baire separable metric space,  $\text{Tr}_f$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  set. For any two points  $x, y \in \text{Tr}_f$ ,  $\omega(x) = \omega(y) = X$ . Thus  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ holds. Conversely, assume f is weakly indecomposable. Let S be the residual set such that for any two points  $x, y \in S$ ,  $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ . since  $R(f)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set,  $S \cap R(f)$  is residual. For any points  $x, y \in S \cap R(f)$ , y is recurrent and  $y \in \omega(y) = \omega(x)$ . As  $\omega$ -limit set of x is closed, we have  $\omega(x) = X$ . Thus f is transitive.

This proves Lemma [2.3.](#page-2-1)

From the statements of Lemmas [2.2](#page-2-0) and [2.3,](#page-2-1) we easily get the following two results.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation on the metric space X. Then the *following conditions are equivalent:*

- *1.* f *is Devaney chaotic.*
- *2.* f *is transitive and has a dense set of periodic points.*
- *3.* f *is strongly indecomposable and has a dense set of periodic points.*
- *4.* f *is indecomposable and has a dense set of periodic points.*

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation on a Baire separable metric space X*. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- *1.* f *is Devaney chaotic.*
- *2.* f *is weakly indecomposable and has a dense set of periodic points.*

<span id="page-3-1"></span>Thus Theorem [2.4](#page-3-2) implies our Main Theorem stated in Section [1.](#page-0-0)

### 3 Indecomposability and periodic-points density

Let  $f: X \to X$  be a continuous transformation on the metric space X. From now on, we let  $U^* = \overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f^n(U)}$  for any  $U \subset X$ , which is an invariant closed set of f. Firstly we show that indecomposability implies weakly indecomposability provided that X is compact.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Theorem 3.1. *Suppose* X *is compact. If* f *is indecomposable, then* f *is weakly indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{B} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a topology basis of X. As f is indecomposable, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $\bigcap_{i=0}^k U_i^* \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from the compactness of X that  $E = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i^*$  is nonempty, closed and invariant. Let  $\mathbb{B}_E = \{U \in \mathbb{B} | U \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then for any  $U \in \mathbb{B}_E$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$  is open and dense in X. Thus  $V_1 = \bigcap_{U \in \mathbb{B}_E} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of X. Taking any  $x \in V_1$ , we have  $Orb_f(x) \supset E$ .

For any positive integer k, let  $L_k = \text{Int}(\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i^*)$  and  $\Delta_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty f^{-i}(L_k)$ . As f is indecomposable, we have  $L_k \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\Delta_k$  is open and dense in X, and  $\omega(x) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i^*$  for any  $x \in \Delta_k$ . By Baire's theorem, it follows that  $V_2 = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set. Therefore for any point  $x \in V_2$ ,  $\omega(x) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i^* = E.$ 

We know that  $V_1 \cap V_2$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  set from its construction. We also have  $\omega(x) \subset E \subset \overline{\mathrm{Orb}_f(x)}$ for any  $x \in V_1 \cap V_2$ . There are the following two cases.

Case 1, Int(E)  $\neq \emptyset$ . Take  $x \in \text{Int}(E) \cap V_1 \cap V_2$  and  $y \in V_1 \cap V_2$ . If x is an isolate point, then  $f^{n}(y) = x$  for some n and  $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ . Otherwise x must be a recurrent point of f, then  $E \supset \omega(y) \supset \omega(x) = E$ , which implies  $\omega(x) = \omega(y)$ . Thus f is weakly indecomposable.

Case 2,  $\text{Int}(E) = \emptyset$ . Let  $E_0 = \{e \in E | e$  is isolate in  $E\}$ . That is,  $E_0$  is the set of all points e in E with  $B_{\varepsilon}(e) \cap E = e$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $B_{\varepsilon}(e)$  stands for open ball of center e and radius  $\varepsilon$ .  $E_0$  must be countable. We claim that  $f^{-n}(e)$  has empty interior for each  $n > 0$  and  $e \in E$ . If not, let *n* be the smallest positive integer such that  $f^{-n}(e)$  has nonempty interior. When  $f^{-n}(e)$  is a singleton,  $f^{-n}(e) \in U_i^*$  for each i since  $\overline{\bigcup_{i \geq -n} f^i(e)}$  is an invariant closed subset with its interior being  $f^{-n}(e)$  and f is indecomposable. Therefore  $f^{-n}(e) \in E$  which contradicts  $Int(E) = \emptyset$ . When  $f^{-n}(e)$  is not a singleton, taking two disjoint nonempty open subset  $\alpha, \beta \subset f^{-n}(e)$ , we have Int $(\alpha^* \cap \beta^*) = \varnothing$  which contradicts to the indecomposability of f.

Since  $f^{-n}(e)$  is a closed set with empty interior for  $n > 0$  and  $e \in E$ , we have that  $V_3 =$  $\bigcup_{e\in E_0}\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}f^{-n}(e)$  is of first category and so  $V_1\cap V_2-V_3$  is a residual set. Take a point  $x\in$  $V_1 \cap V_2 - V_3$ , if  $Orb_f(x) \cap E = \emptyset$ , then  $E \subset \overline{Orb_f(x)} - Orb_f(x) \subset \omega(x) \subset E$ . Otherwise there exists the smallest nonnegative integer n such that  $f^{n}(x) \in E$ . Then we have

$$
\omega(x) \subset E \subset \overline{Orb_f(x)} - \{x, f(x), \cdots, f^{n-1}(x)\} = \overline{Orb_{f^n}(x)}.
$$

And  $f^{n}(x)$  must be a cluster point of E because  $x \notin V_3$ . Thus  $f^{n}(x)$  is a recurrent point and

$$
\omega(x) \subset E \subset \overline{Orb_{f^n}(x)} = \omega(f^n(x)) = \omega(x).
$$

Therefore, in both cases we always have  $\omega(x) = E$ . This means that f is weakly indecomposable.  $\Box$ 

This completes the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-3-0)

Secondly, we show that strongly indecomposability is nearly transitivity.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Theorem 3.2.** If X is a Baire separable metric space with no isolate point,  $f: X \to X$  is strongly *indecomposable, then there exist an invariant closed set* E with nonempty interior such that  $f|_E$  is *transitive.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{B} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a topology basis of X and  $E = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i^*$ . Then  $Int(E) \neq \emptyset$  by the strongly indecomposability of f. Let  $\mathbb{B}_E = \{U \in \mathbb{B} | U \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then for any  $U \in \mathbb{B}_E$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$  is open and dense in X, which implies that  $\bigcap_{U \in \mathbb{B}_E} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$  is a dense  $G_{\delta}$  set of X. Every point x in  $Int(E) \cap \bigcap_{U \in \mathbb{B}_E} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{-n}(U)$  is a transitive point of  $f|_E$ . Therefore,  $f|_E$  is transitive since  $X$  has no isolate point. 口

Finally, we consider one-dimensional system  $(I, f)$ , where I is an interval and f is a continuous map of I. We need a lemma.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 3.3** ([9]). *Suppose*  $f : I \to I$  *is an interval map. If*  $J \subset I$  *is a subinterval containing no periodic point and*  $z, f^{m}(z), f^{n}(z) \in J$  with  $0 < m < n$ , then either  $z < f^{m}(z) < f^{n}(z)$  or  $z > f^{m}(z) > f^{n}(z).$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** An interval map  $f: I \to I$  is strongly indecomposable, then there exist a positive *integer* n and disjoint closed non degenerate subintervals  $J_0, J_1, \ldots, J_{n-1}, J_n = J_0$  such that  $f(J_i) =$  $J_{i+1}, i = 0, \ldots, n-1$  and f is Devaney chaotic on n−1<br>∪  $i=0$ Ji *. Furthermore,* f n *is Devaney chaotic on*  $J_i, i = 0, \ldots, n - 1.$ 

*Proof.* Assume that f is strongly indecomposable. By Theorem [3.2,](#page-4-0) there exists a closed subset E which contains a non degenerate interval J such that  $f|_E$  is transitive. Let  $(a, b)$  be any non degenerate subinterval of J. Suppose  $(a, b)$  contains no periodic point. By the transitivity of  $f|_E$ , there exist a transitive point  $x \in (a, b)$  and  $0 < p < q$  such that  $a < f<sup>q</sup>(x) < x < f<sup>p</sup>(x) < b$ , which contradicts with Lemma [3.3.](#page-4-1) Thus the periodic points are dense in J. Since  $f|_E$  is transitive, the periodic points are dense in  $E, f|_E$  is Devaney chaotic.

Let  $J_0$  be the longest subinterval of E. It must be closed because E is closed. Since  $f|_E$ is transitive, there exists the smallest positive integer n such that  $f^{n}(J_0) \cap J_0 \neq \emptyset$ . We have  $f^{n}(J_0) \subset J_0$  as  $J_0$  is the longest subinterval. The transitivity of  $f|_E$  ensures  $f^{n}(J_0) = J_0$ . Let  $J_i = f^i(J_0), i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ . We claim that  $J_i, i = 0, \ldots, n-1$  are disjoint. If not, there exist integers  $0 \leq l < m \leq n-1$  such that  $J_l \cap J_m \neq \emptyset$ , which follows  $J_0 \cap J_{m-l} \supset f^{n-l}(J_l \cap J_m) \neq \emptyset$ . A contradiction.

n−1<br>∪ Since  $J_0$  is closed and  $f^{n-i}(J_i) = J_0$ ,  $J_i$  is closed for  $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ . It follows that  $J_i$  is  $i=0$  $\bigcup^{n-1}$  $J_i$ . It's not difficult to check that  $f^n|_{J_i}$  is transitive and chaos in invariant and closed. So  $E =$  $i=0$ the sense of Devaney.  $\Box$ 

At the end of this note, we give two examples to illustrate that

indecomposability  $\Rightarrow$  strongly indecompossability  $\Rightarrow$  transitivity.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Example 3.1.** Let  $I = [0, 1]$  and f be defined as

$$
f(x) = \begin{cases} -2x + 1, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{6}], \\ 2x + 1/3, & x \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}], \\ -3x + 2, & x \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}], \\ x - 2/3, & x \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1]. \end{cases}
$$

See Figure [3.1.](#page-5-1) Then  $f$  is strongly indecomposable but not transitive.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>Figure 3.1: The profile of f in Example [3.1](#page-5-0)

*Proof.* We show that f is strongly indecomposable. On interval  $[0, \frac{1}{3}]$  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $f^2$  can be expressed as

$$
f^{2}(x) = \begin{cases} -2x + \frac{1}{3}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{6}], \\ 2x - \frac{1}{3}, & x \in [\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}]. \end{cases}
$$

It is clear that  $f^2|_{[0,\frac{1}{3}]}$  is mixing. For any non degenerate subinterval  $J \subset [0,1]$ , there exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $f^{n}(J) \cap (0, \frac{1}{3})$  $(\frac{1}{3}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f^{n}(J)$  is non degenerate,  $\overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 0} f^{n}(J)} \supset [0, \frac{1}{3}]$  $\frac{1}{3}$ , f is strongly indecomposable on [0, 1]. On the other hand, for  $x \in \left(\frac{3}{9}\right)$  $\frac{3}{9}, \frac{4}{9}$  $(\frac{4}{9})$ ,  $f^{n}(x)$  never comes back into  $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$  $\frac{2}{3}$ ) any more for  $n > 0$ . Thus f is not transitive.  $\Box$ 



Figure 3.2: The profile of  $f$  on [0,1] in Example [3.2.](#page-6-0)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Example 3.2.** Let  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $f : I \rightarrow I$  be defined as

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
f(0) = 0; f(1) = 1;
$$
  

$$
f(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}) = 1, \quad n = 1, 2, ...,
$$
  

$$
f(1 - \frac{3}{2^{n+2}}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, ....
$$

f is linear between  $1-\frac{1}{2^n}$  and  $1-\frac{3}{2^{n+2}}$ ,  $n=1,2,\ldots$ . See Figure [3.2.](#page-6-1) Then f is indecomposable but not strongly indecomposable. Furthermore, f has only two periodic points 0 and 1.

*Proof.* To show that f is indecomposable, let  $A, B \subset X$  be two invariant closed subsets with non degenerate intervals  $I \subset A, J \subset B$ , respectively. If I covers at least 2 critical points, then  $f(I) \supset [1 - \frac{|I|}{4}]$  $\frac{I_1}{4}$ , 1. Here |I| denotes the length of the interval I. If I covers less than two critical points, we have  $|f(I)| \geq |I|$  by the fact that the absolute value of slope of f is 2 everywhere except the critical points. Thus there exists the smallest positive integer n such that  $f^{n}(I)$  covers at least two critical points. We have  $f^{n+1}(I) \supset [1 - \frac{|I|}{4}]$  $\frac{I}{4}$ , 1]. Hence  $A \supset [1 - \frac{|I|}{4}]$  $\frac{I_1}{4}$ , 1]. Similarly, we have  $B \supset [1 - \frac{|J|}{4}]$  $\frac{J_1}{4}$ , 1]. Therefore,  $A \cap B$  contains a non degenerate interval and f is indecomposable.

But f is not strongly indecomposable. In fact, let  $J_n = [1 - \frac{1}{2^n}, 1], n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Then  $J_n$  is invariant with nonempty interiors and  $\bigcap$  $\bigcap_{n} J_n = \{1\}$  which contains no interior point.

It is easily seen that the periodic points of f are  $\{0,1\}$  and the point  $\{1\}$  attracts all the points except the origin. f is far from chaos. 口 **Remark 3.1.** For interval maps  $f: I \to I$ , strongly indecomposability does not imply periodicpoints density on I, but it ensures Devaney's chaos on some subintervals of I.

Remark 3.2. Even for interval maps, indecomposability does not imply periodic-points density. Example [3.2](#page-6-0) demonstrates that an indecomposable interval map can be far from chaos.

Remark 3.3. Weakly indecomposability is the weakest concept among the three ones on compact space. Such system has a topologically "large" set of points, each of which has the same  $\omega$ -limit set. An indecomposable map can have very simple dynamics. For example, any constant map on a metric space X (a map which maps all points of X into a common fixed point) is indecomposable.

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Professor Xiongping Dai for some valuable discussion.

# <span id="page-7-1"></span>References

- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[1] E. Akin and J.D. Carlson, Conceptions of topological transitivity, Topology Appl., 159 (2012), 2815–2830.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[2] D. Assaf, IV and S. Gadbois, Definition of chaos, Amer. Math. Monthly, 99(1992) 865.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[3] J. Banks, J. Brooks, G. Cairns, G. Davis and P. Stacey, On Devaney's definition of chaos, Amer. Math. Monthly, 99 (1992), 332-334.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[4] F. Blanchard, E. Glasner, S. Kolyada, and A. Maass, On Li-Yorke pairs, J. Reine Angew. Math., 547 (2002), 51–68.
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[5] R. Devaney, An Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[6] A. Crannell, The role of transitivity in Devaney's definition of chaos, Amer. Math. Monthly, 102 (1995),788-793.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[7] W. Huang and X. Ye, Devaney's chaos or 2-scattering implies Li-Yorke's chaos, Topology Appl., 117 (2002), 259–272.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[8] P. Touhey, Yet another definition of chaos, Amer. Math. Monthly, 104 (1997), 411-414.
- $[9]$  M. Vellekoop and R. Berglund, On interval, transitivity  $=$  chaos, Amer. Math. Monthly, 101 (1994),352-355.