

Whitney Embedding Th^m 2 Lec. 15

Th^m] Every k -dimensional smooth manifold admits a one-to-one immersion into \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} .

Pf] Let X be a smooth k -manifold and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be an embedding for $N > 2k+1$.

Define: $h: X \times X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ by $h(x, y, t) = t(f(x) - f(y))$

Define: $g: T(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ by $g(x, v) = df_x(v)$.

Claim: h and g are smooth

Pf] Exercise.

Claim: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map and $\dim(X) < \dim(Y)$, then $f(X)$ has measure zero.

Pf] Last time

By claim, both $\text{Im}(h)$ and $\text{Im}(g)$ have measure zero in \mathbb{R}^N . Hence, we can choose $a \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ s.t. $a \notin \text{Im}(h)$ and $a \notin \text{Im}(g)$.

Define $\pi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow H$ be the projection map where H is the $(N-1)$ -dim'l subspace of \mathbb{R}^N that is perpendicular to a .

Claim: $\pi \circ f: X \rightarrow H$ is one-to-one.

Pf] Suppose not. Let $x, y \in X$ s.t. $x \neq y$ and $\pi \circ f(x) = \pi \circ f(y)$.

Since f is an embedding, $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Hence, $\exists t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $f(x) - f(y) = ta$.

$$\text{or } \gamma_t(f(x) - f(y)) = a.$$

$$\text{or } h(x, y, \gamma_t) = a.$$

However, this contradicts our choice of a . \square

Claim: $\pi \circ f: X \rightarrow H$ is an immersion.

Pf] Suppose not. There exists $x \in X$ and $v \in T_x(X)$ s.t. $v \neq \vec{0}$ and $d(\pi \circ f)_x(v) = \vec{0}$.

By chain rule, $d\pi_{f(x)} \circ df_x(v) = \vec{0}$.

Since π is linear, $\pi \circ df_x(v) = \vec{0}$.

So $df_x(v) = ta$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

*Note $t \neq 0$ since df_x is one-to-one.

$$\gamma_t df_x(v) = a$$

$$df_x(\gamma_t \cdot v) = a$$

$$g((x, \gamma_t \cdot v)) = a \quad \text{**} \quad \square$$

Thus $\pi \circ f: X \rightarrow H \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a one-to-one immersion.

By induction $\exists g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$, a one-to-one immersion. \square

Corollary If X is a compact k -manifold, then X can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} .

Pf By theorem, there exists a one-to-one immersion $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$.

Need to show g is proper. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ be compact. By H.B. C is closed & bounded. Thus $g^{-1}(C) \subset X$ is closed. Since closed subsets of compact sets are compact, then $g^{-1}(C)$ is compact. \square

Partitions of unity

Manifold.

Thm Let X be an arbitrary subset of \mathbb{R}^N .

For any covering of X by open sets $\{U_\alpha\}$ in X , there exists a sequence of smooth functions $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ s.t. $\theta_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_\alpha\}$, with the following properties

1) $0 \leq \theta_i(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$ and all i .

2) $\forall x \in X \exists U_x$ a nbh of x in X s.t. all

but finitely many θ_i are non-zero on U_x

3) Each θ_i is zero outside of a closed subset of some U_α .

4) $\forall x \in X \sum_{i=1}^\infty \theta_i(x) = 1$ (a finite sum!)

Pf In Book.

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty$$

Corollary | Given any manifold X , there is a proper map $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Pf | Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be the collection of open sets in X that have compact closures. Let $\{\theta_i\}$ be a subordinate partition of unity.

Define $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \theta_i(x)$.

Note p is smooth.

If $p(x) \leq j$, then at least one of

$\theta_1(x), \dots, \theta_j(x)$ is non-zero.

$$\text{So; } p^{-1}([-j, j]) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^j \{x \in X \mid \theta_i(x) \neq 0\}$$

$$\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^j U_{\alpha_i}$$

But, $\text{cl}(\bigcup_{i=1}^j U_{\alpha_i})$ is compact by assumption

and $p^{-1}([-j, j])$ is closed, by continuity.

So $p^{-1}([-j, j])$ is a closed subset of a compact set, so is compact.

By H.B. any compact subset of \mathbb{R} is contained in $[-j, j]$ for some j . So, p is proper. \square

